INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

OPEN ACCESS

Review Article

Advancing GTI Detection in Pharmaceuticals Through AI Technologies

Amritesh Kumar*, Anurag Vikram, Satyam Utkarsh, Siddhesh Patil, Aryan Garaye

Poona College of Pharmacy, Pune, Maharashtra, India, 411038.

ARTICLE INFO

Published: 14 Aug 2025
Keywords:
Genotoxic impurities; Artificial intelligence; Machine learning; Deep learning; QSAR models; Pharmaceutical quality control
DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.16875313

ABSTRACT

Genotoxic impurities (GTIs) in pharmaceutical formulations raise critical concerns due to their potential to cause genetic mutations and possibly lead to cancer. While conventional detection techniques like gas and liquid chromatography are reliable, they are often complex and resource-heavy. Recently, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool, offering new approaches for identifying, predicting, and measuring GTIs. By leveraging methods such as machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and quantitative structure–activity relationship (QSAR) models, AI enables efficient analysis and precise impurity profiling. This article presents an overview of AI-based techniques used for GTI detection, including their integration with spectroscopic and chromatographic technologies. Additionally, the paper highlights regulatory perspectives and quality control strategies supported by AI, pointing to a shift toward smarter, quicker, and safer pharmaceutical manufacturing.

INTRODUCTION

Genotoxic impurities (GTIs) are chemical entities capable of damaging the genetic framework of living organisms. Even when present in trace amounts, their inclusion in pharmaceutical products is considered hazardous due to the potential for DNA mutations and cancerous developments. Recognizing this risk, global regulatory bodies such as the International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) and the USFDA have enforced strict control measures to limit GTI exposure. Techniques like high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) are frequently used for GTI analysis, though they often involve high costs and extended processing time. With advances in data science, AI offers a promising alternative by streamlining impurity detection, enhancing accuracy, and minimizing time and resource consumption. This review focuses on exploring how AI can support and enhance current analytical methods in

***Corresponding Author:** Amritesh Kumar
Address: *Poona College of Pharmacy, Pune, Maharashtra, India, 411038.*
Email : amriteshkumar950@gmail.com
Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

pharmaceutical quality assurance, particularly in the detection and risk assessment of GTIs.

The increasing complexity of modern drug synthesis processes necessitates a more intelligent and automated approach to impurity profiling. Artificial Intelligence (AI), with its subset fields such as machine learning and deep learning, offers data-driven solutions to these challenges. By learning patterns from large datasets, AI models can predict impurity behavior, optimize analytical methods, and even automate toxicological assessments. This review explores how AI is being leveraged to transform the detection, prediction, and regulatory assessment of GTIs in pharmaceutical preparations.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Over the past two decades, the pharmaceutical industry has increasingly focused on minimizing genotoxic impurities (GTIs) in drug substances. Recent studies have illustrated the potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in accelerating and improving the sensitivity of GTI detection.

Miyamoto et al. (2021) utilized machine learning models to predict LC-MS ionization efficiencies for GTIs, significantly reducing time spent on method development. Similarly, Fioravanzo et al. (2012) demonstrated the efficacy of in silico genotoxicity tools, particularly QSAR models, in preclinical impurity screening.

Zhong and Zhu (2025) employed deep learning algorithms combined with spectral data to improve nitrosamine impurity detection in valsartan. Their work showed a 23% increase in accuracy over traditional techniques. Shallan et al. (2022) developed AI-enhanced spectrofluorimetric methods that achieved high specificity and reduced noise in low-concentration impurity detection.

Collectively, these studies highlight AI's role in replacing or complementing conventional analytical techniques, especially in regulatory contexts such as ICH M7 where both experimental and computational toxicology approaches are endorsed.

3. METHODOLOGY

This review adopts a qualitative, narrative approach by analyzing peer-reviewed research articles and technical reports published between 2010 and 2025. Sources were selected from PubMed, ScienceDirect, Springer, and Taylor & Francis, using keywords such as 'AI in genotoxic impurity detection', 'QSAR pharmaceutical', and 'machine learning GTIs'.

The inclusion criteria required articles to discuss the integration of AI tools in impurity detection processes, cover pharmaceutical substances, and provide either experimental validation or regulatory implications. Exclusion criteria removed studies lacking AI integration or those focused solely on environmental genotoxicity.

Articles were organized based on the AI technique used (e.g., machine learning, deep learning, rule-based systems), type of analytical method enhanced, and alignment with regulatory frameworks like ICH M7.

4. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN GTI DETERMINATION

AI plays several roles in the pharmaceutical industry concerning GTI determination:

4.1 Predictive Modeling: QSAR and deep learning models predict whether impurities possess genotoxic properties based on chemical structure. These models reduce dependency on in vivo and in vitro studies.

4.2 Chromatographic Optimization: Machine learning algorithms can simulate retention behavior, optimize mobile phase gradients, and enhance detection limits in techniques such as HPLC and GC-MS.

4.3 In Silico Toxicology: Tools like DEREK Nexus and VEGA predict mutagenicity using structure-activity relationships, helping scientists prioritize which impurities require experimental validation.

4.4 Regulatory Integration: AI-supported assessments are being increasingly accepted under guidelines like ICH M7, which encourages using computational toxicology in impurity qualification, especially during early-stage drug development.

5. BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

The application of AI in GTI detection offers considerable advantages, but it also comes with inherent limitations.

Benefits:

- **High Throughput:** AI enables rapid screening of thousands of potential impurities using predictive models, drastically reducing the time needed compared to traditional in vitro or in vivo methods.
- **Cost Efficiency:** Computational models cut down on experimental costs, especially those involving long-term animal studies or complex chromatographic method development.
- **Regulatory Alignment:** AI-driven tools like QSAR have been incorporated into ICH M7 guidelines, thus allowing drug developers to submit computational assessments as part of regulatory filings.
- **Reduced Animal Testing**: By predicting genotoxic potential in silico, AI supports the 3Rs (Replacement, Reduction, Refinement) in animal testing.

Challenges:

- **Black-Box Nature:** Deep learning models lack interpretability, which may hinder their acceptance in highly regulated environments.
- **Data Integrity:** For AI models to perform reliably, access to extensive and well-curated datasets is essential; however, such data is often limited or inaccessible in the pharmaceutical domain.
- **Regulatory Hesitation:** While ICH guidelines permit AI models, full regulatory endorsement still requires supporting experimental data.
- **Model Validation:** Ensuring the reproducibility and robustness of AI models across diverse APIs and manufacturing conditions is non-trivial.

6. CASE STUDY: NITROSAMINE DETECTION IN VALSARTAN

Nitrosamines, a class of genotoxic impurities, gained significant regulatory attention after their detection in valsartan in 2018. Zhong & Zhu (2025) employed an AI-enhanced detection method combining deep learning with spectral signal processing to identify trace amounts of N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) in valsartan batches.

Their approach involved training a convolutional neural network (CNN) on pre-processed UV-VIS spectral data. The AI system was able to identify NDMA presence at parts-per-trillion levels with over 95% accuracy—surpassing the sensitivity of conventional GC-MS.

The method was validated against certified reference standards and exhibited a linear response from 0.05 ng/mL to 1 ng/mL. Importantly, the model reduced the time to result by 40% and flagged anomalies in near real-time. This showcases AI's potential not only for detection but also for ongoing process monitoring and batch release decisions.

7. FUTURE OUTLOOK

The integration of AI into genotoxic impurity analysis is expected to deepen in the coming decade. Emerging trends include:

- **Generative AI for Molecule Synthesis**: Predicting whether new synthetic routes are likely to generate GTIs.
- **Real-Time Analytics**: AI-driven sensors embedded in manufacturing lines for continuous GTI monitoring.
- **Federated Learning**: Allowing multiple pharmaceutical companies to train AI models collaboratively without compromising proprietary data.
- **Explainable AI (XAI)**: Making AI outputs more transparent and interpretable, which could increase trust among regulatory bodies.

As regulators gain more confidence in AI's reliability and reproducibility, its adoption is likely to expand beyond early-phase drug development into mainstream pharmaceutical quality assurance.

CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence is rapidly transforming the landscape of pharmaceutical impurity profiling. From predicting genotoxic potential using QSAR models to optimizing analytical methods through machine learning, AI provides tools that enhance sensitivity, speed, and accuracy.

Despite some regulatory and interpretability challenges, the benefits of AI in genotoxic impurity determination are undeniable. As computational power and regulatory frameworks continue to evolve, AI is set to become an indispensable tool in ensuring drug safety and compliance.

REFERENCES

1. Miyamoto, K., Mizuno, H., Sugiyama, E., et al. (2021). Machine learning guided prediction of LC-MS ionization efficiency for genotoxic impurities. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2021.114130
2. Fioravanzo, E., Bassan, A., & Pavan, M. (2012). Role of in silico genotoxicity tools in regulatory assessment. SAR and QSAR in Environmental Research, 23(1), 119–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/1062936x.2012.657236
3. Zhong, Z., & Zhu, C. (2025). Impurity Analysis Optimization in Drug Testing Using AI. Journal of Medicines Development Sciences. https://journal.whioce.com/index.php/jmds/article/view/782
4. Shallan, A. I., Abdel-Hakim, A., & Hammad, M. A. (2022). AI-assisted spectrofluorimetric determination of genotoxic impurities. Scientific Reports. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-19603-9
5. Galloway, S. M. (2017). Genotoxicity testing in pharma. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis, 58(1), 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22077
6. Kalidindi, V. R., Somalanka, B. M., & Seethamraju, S. M. (2024). Regulatory aspects of GTIs. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Allied Sciences. https://ijpras.com/article/pharmaceutical-

impurities-and-their-regulatory-aspects-with-a-special-focus-on-genotoxic-impurities-tpfgprb27jdqkkx

7. Halder, S., & Narayana, P. V. (2023). Emerging analytical-AI techniques. ECB Conference Bulletin. https://www.academia.edu/download/105831806/Comprehensive_Review_of_Current_and_Emerging_Analytical_Techniques_Genotoxic_Impurities_in_Drug_Substances_ECB.pdf
8. Cordeiro, C. F., Franco, L. L., et al. (2024). AI-Based Impurity Threshold Estimation. Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408347.2024.2384046

HOW TO CITE: Amritesh Kumar, Anurag Vikram, Satyam Utkarsh, Siddhesh Patil, Aryan Garaye, Advancing GTI Detection in Pharmaceuticals Through AI Technologies, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 8, 1578-1582. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16875313