

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA):IJPS00] Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com



Review Article

Emerging Therapies And Advances In The Management Of Crohn's Disease

Abd Al Rahman Ismail*, Sudhamshu K Tantry, A.R. Shabaraya

Department of Pharmacy Practice, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, Post Farangipete, Mangalore-574143, Karnataka, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 01 Sep 2024 Accepted: 04 Sep 2024 Published: 13 Sep 2024 Keywords: Biologics, immunosuppression, inflammation, remission DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.13756060

ABSTRACT

Crohn's disease (CD) is defined as the chronic inflammation of gastrointestinal tract characterized by a relapsing-remitting course and significant morbidity. Traditional therapies, including corticosteroids, immunomodulators (e.g., azathioprine, methotrexate), and anti-TNF agents (e.g., infliximab, adalimumab), have been pivotal in inducing and maintaining remission. However, a subset of patients experiencing refractory disease or adverse effects necessitating alternative treatment approaches. Recent years have witnessed a transformation in CD management with the introduction of biologics targeting diverse inflammatory pathways. Agents like Vedolizumab and Natalizumab, which block adhesion molecules a4-integrin and a4β7 integrin, respectively, demonstrate efficacy in gut-selective immunosuppression. IL-23 inhibitors like Risankizumab and Ustekinumab offer an alternative approach by targeting cytokines implicated in Th17 cell differentiation, showing promise in inducing and sustaining remission in moderate to severe CD. Small molecule therapies have emerged as a prominent advancement, particularly Janus kinase inhibitors (JAKi) such as Filgotinib and Upadacitinib. By inhibiting intracellular signaling cascades downstream of cytokine receptors, JAKi modulate immune responses and exhibit efficacy in steroidrefractory and biologic-naive patients. Beyond pharmacological innovations, novel therapeutic strategies are expanding the treatment paradigm. Sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor modulators (S1PR) like Ozanimod harness sphingosine signaling to regulate lymphocyte trafficking, offering oral alternatives with favorable safety profiles. Nonpharmacological interventions such as fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) and stem cell transplantation represent innovative approaches in managing refractory disease and perianal manifestations, leveraging insight from immune modulation and gut microbiome. This comprehensive review synthesizes current evidence and ongoing research to highlight the evolving landscape of CD management. While these

*Corresponding Author: Abd Al Rahman Ismail

Address: Department of Pharmacy Practice, Srinivas College of Pharmacy, Valachil, Post Farangipete, Mangalore - 574143, Karnataka, India.

Email : abdulrahmanismail7353@gmail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



advancements offer unprecedented therapeutic options, challenges persist in optimizing treatment efficacy, safety, and long-term outcomes. Future directions should include precision medicine initiatives, biomarker discovery, and therapeutic combinations aimed at achieving sustained remission and improving quality of life in individuals with CD.

INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic, relapsing disorder that primarily affects gastrointestinal tract (GIT), leading to significant healthcare costs and morbidity. [1] It consists of Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD). Crohn's disease involves chronic inflammation, periods of flare-up and remission, and progressive symptoms that can affect any part of GIT. During the initial 90 days post-diagnosis, some patients may develop stricturing or fistulizing complications. [2] Crohn's disease is most prevalent in Northern Europe, New Zealand and North America, with peak incidences between the ages of 15-30 and 40-60. It is more commonly seen in urban areas and has a higher incidence among Northern Europeans and individuals of Jewish descent (3.2 per 1000 people), [3] although, recent studies have reported increased number of cases in rapidly industrializing areas of Africa, Asia and Australasia. [4] In previous two decades, the developing likelihood of inflammatory, structuring, and penetrating complications are 12%, 18%, and 70%, respectively. These complications often necessitate multiple surgeries and can lead to disability, affecting patients' physical and mental well-being. However, recent trends indicate a decrease in surgery rates for CD, which may be attributed to the timely and effective use of medical therapies. [5] Significant progress has been made in IBD treatment, with anti-tumor necrosis factor (anti-TNF) agents becoming a cornerstone of CD management. These may lead to better health outcomes and reduced surgical procedures needs, and they are also effective in treating perianal and extra-intestinal symptoms of IBD.[1] Despite advancements in biologics (biological drugs) for CD, the remission rates after one year of therapy with individual agents remain at 30–50%. This rate declines with second-line therapies, and eventually, 80% patients require surgery. Early treatments, such as anti-TNFs, have broad mechanisms to control the unusual immune response in CD. With a deeper understanding of etiopathogenesis, newer treatments targeting specific pathways are currently developed. Additionally, several non-pharmacological approaches are available or being explored to complement pharmacotherapy. [6]

NOVEL DRUGS FOR CROHNS DISEASE 1. ANTI-ADHESION MOLECULES

Adhesion molecules on endothelium and leukocyte integrins interact to draw leukocytes to vascular endothelium of inflammatory tissues. Intestinal inflammation can be decreased by inhibiting these interactions, thereby restricting the inflammatory cell migration to intestinal lining. [7]

Natalizumab

- Natalizumab, a recombinant monoclonal antibody originally approved in the U.S. in 2004 for multiple sclerosis, is the first antiadhesion agent approved for treating moderate to severe CD. It works by blocking the binding of $\alpha 4\beta 7$ and $\alpha 4\beta 1$ integrins on T cells to vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) and mucosal addressin cell adhesion molecule-1 (MAdCAM-1). This action prevents T cells from migrating into tissues, thereby reducing inflammation in CD. [1]
- In ENCORE trial, which involved 509 patients with moderate to severe CD and higher CRP levels, Natalizumab showed effectiveness in inducing and maintaining remission compared to a placebo. Participants received 300 mg of IV Natalizumab or a placebo at 0, 4, and 8 weeks. The primary

outcome measured was the sustained response from week 8 through week 12. The Natalizumab group demonstrated a significantly higher response rate (48% vs. 32%; P < 0.001) and a greater proportion achieved sustained remission (26% vs. 16%; P = 0.002) compared to the placebo group. [8]

- The use of natalizumab has been significantly limited due to its link with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a serious central nervous system infection. PML occurs when the John Cunningham virus (JCV) becomes active again, and this is thought to happen because natalizumab blocks $\alpha 4\beta 1$ integrins from binding to VCAM-1. This inhibition reduces T cell migration, which is crucial to protect against CNS viral activity. [9]
- In a study involving natalizumab-treated patients, the PML incidence was 2.1 cases per 1000 patients, with nearly 22% of those affected succumbing to the disease. The study also found that individuals at the highest risk of PML had an incidence rate of 11.1 cases per 1000 patients. Factors that increased the PML risk included prior immunosuppressant therapy, positive anti-JCV antibodies and extended natalizumab treatment duration. [10]
- According to available data, risk of PML may be lower in patients without anti-JCV antibodies who receive natalizumab for no longer than 8 months. [11]
- Minor adverse events with natalizumab use include infusion reactions, headaches, nausea, and drug antibodies development. [8]

Vedolizumab

 Being a monoclonal antibody, vedolizumab is approved for treating CD, acts by interacting with only α4β7 integrin (no interaction with α4β1 integrins) on T cells, preventing their binding to MAdCAM-1 on endothelial cells. This specificity limits lymphocyte infiltration, thereby improving chronic gut inflammation without affecting CNS immune cell infiltration. This distinction provides an advantage over natalizumab, which has been linked to PML. [1]

- The GEMINI 2 and 3 trials investigated vedolizumab's efficacy in CD. These studies demonstrated less favorable outcomes for clinical remission (CR) at week 6 compared to UC cohorts. GEMINI 3 did not collect mucosal healing data. It was suggested that vedolizumab might require longer treatment periods in CD compared to UC to effectively induce and maintain remission. By week 10, vedolizumab was shown to be more effective than placebo in inducing remission, and GEMINI 2 demonstrated its superiority over placebo in achieving CR and avoiding steroid use at week 52. A meta-analysis also confirmed vedolizumab's effectiveness in both inducing and maintaining CR in CD, although it was found to be less effective than adalimumab in maintaining remission. [12][13]
- Several studies, including those of Shelton, Amiot and Baumgart, with extented follow-up periods have demonstrated that vedolizumab effectively induces and maintains remission by week 14 in both anti-TNFα-naïve and anti-TNFα-treated patients. [14]

Etrolizumab

- A monoclonal antibody such as Etrolizumab binds specifically to β 7 subunit of the α E β 7 and α 4 β 7 integrins (heterodimeric), thereby restricting their interactions with E-cadherin and MAdCAM-1, resulting in prevention of inflammatory cells migration to the intestines and modulating their impact on intestinal epithelium. [15]
- The BERGAMOT trial (Phase III) randomly assigned 300 patients with moderate-to-severe CD who received either placebo (105 mg

every 4 weeks) or SC etrolizumab (210 mg at 0, 2, 4, 8, and 12 weeks) in a ratio of 1:2:2. Both doses resulted in higher CR rates by Week 6 and endoscopic remission (ER) by Week 14 when compared with a placebo (105 mg: 21%, 210 mg: 17.4%, placebo: 3.4%). ADRs were similar among the treatment groups and placebo, with common side effects including fatigue, headache, abdominal pain and nasopharyngitis. Notably, zero instances of PML were reported. These findings suggest rapid efficacy and a favorable safety profile. [16]

• Recent research on CD patients indicates that etrolizumab reduces the activation of proinflammatory genes and the expression of cytotoxic intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL). [17]

Abrilumab

- Abrilumab is a monoclonal antibody which specifically targets $\alpha 4\beta7$ integrin, a validated target in IBD treatment. Many trials have assessed abrilumab for treating moderate-to-severe CD in individuals who did not respond adequately to immunosuppressive agents, corticosteroids, or anti-TNF agents. [15]
- In a study involving CD patients, abrilumab did not meet its primary endpoints for response on week 8 and remission on week 12. However, beneficial effects on remission and response rates were observed with the medication. [18]

2. IL-23 ANTAGONISTS

• Polymorphism of IL-23 receptor gene can result in CD development.Moreover, Agents that block IL-23, such as ustekinumab, also affect IL-12 because of their shared p40 subunit. This dual blockade can potentially interfere with IL-12's beneficial functions in infection prevention and anti-tumor immunity. Therefore, by targeting p19 subunit (specific to IL-23) can provide therapeutic benefits by specifically inhibiting IL-23 while sparing IL-12. This targeted approach promises to maintain comparable safety profiles while potentially reducing the infections risk and preserving anti-tumor responses. Currently, four such agents focusing on p19 subunit are currently in development, highlighting the ongoing advancements in this therapeutic area. [19]

Risankizumab

• Risankizumab's efficacy in moderately severe CD was evaluated in randomized phase III trials (ADVANCE and MOTIVATE). [20] These trials demonstrated significantly higher complete remission rates within 12 weeks. Patients who had not initially achieve remission benefited from subsequent openlabel IV risankizumab. Maintenance therapy data from FORTIFY study further supports its effectiveness in sustaining remission. [21]

Brazikumab

Brazikumab was evaluated in an open-label • phase IIa clinical trial involving patients with moderate to severe CD. This placebodouble-blind. 12-week controlled. trial involved individuals receiving IV Brazikumab 700mg per placebo, on first day and day 29 followed by 100-week open-label phase where patients received SC brazikumab 210 mg every 4 weeks and a 36-week followup period after treatment. The trial showed that Brazikumab was safe over the 100-week period, especially in patients who could not tolerate or did not respond to one or more anti-TNFα treatments. [22]

Guselkumab

• Guselkumab, a human IgG1 monoclonal antibody approved for treating psoriasis in the US, Japan, Canada, and the EU. The Phase II and III trials, GALAXI 1, GALAXI 2, and GALAXI 3 are specifically focused on CD patients and are aimed to evaluate various aspects including biomarkers, pharmacokinetics, safety and efficacy. GALAXI 1, for instance, assessed the safety and efficacy of guselkumab in moderate-tosevere CD patients who had either not responded adequately to immunosuppressants or corticosteroids. Patients treated with guselkumab demonstrated superior response rates, clinical biomarker improvements, and endoscopic enhancements, when compared with a placebo. [15][23][24]

Mirikizumab

• A RCT (Phase II trial) involved testing of mirikizumab on patients with active CD. Participants received either 200 mg, 600 mg, or 1,000 mg of IV mirikizumab or a placebo. By Week 12, ER and CR rates were found to be higher in 600 mg group (37.5% response, 15.6% remission) and the 1,000 mg group (43.8% response, 20.3% remission) compared to the placebo group (10.9% response, 1.6% remission). [25]

Ustekinumab

Ustekinumab, a monoclonal antibody targeting the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23, is approved for moderate-to-severe CD. In UNITI-1, UNITI-2, and IM-UNITI trials, ustekinumab showed superior efficacy compared to placebo, benefiting patients regardless of prior anti-TNF exposure. UNITI-1 focused on patients with severe, long-standing CD who had not responded to anti-TNF agents, while UNITI-2 included mostly treatment-naïve patients. Both trials, with IM-UNITI, along demonstrated reductions in CRP and FCP levels at weeks 8 and 44. Serious adverse effects were reported in 9.9% to 15% of patients, with thirteen experiencing serious infections. [26]

3. JAK INHIBITORS

• Inflammatory cytokines activate the JAK-STAT signaling pathway that plays a key role in immune responses and inflammation. JAK inhibitors are small-molecule drugs that block Janus kinases, disrupting the signaling that leads to the production and release of proinflammatory cytokines.

Filgotinib

- Filgotinib, an oral small molecule that inhibits JAK1 phosphorylation is approved for rheumatoid arthritis in 2020 (Europe) and also has been studied for CD in FITZROY study. [15]
- The FITZROY (Phase II) study, a placebocontrolled, double-blind, randomized trial, demonstrated encouraging results for filgotinib in moderately-to-severely active CD. At Week 10, clinical remission (CR) was observed in 47% of patients on 200 mg filgotinib, compared to 23% on placebo (p=0.008). Although endoscopic outcomes have not shown significant differences, better results may emerge during the maintenance phase. [27]

Upadacitinib

• In the Phase II CELEST study on upadacitinib for moderate-to-severe CD involving 220 patients, higher doses (24 mg) showed significantly improved ER rates compared to placebo, but there were no significant differences in CR rates by Week 16. Three herpes zoster reactivation cases were reported, with no treatment discontinuations, and no thromboembolic events occurred. Two cases of intestinal perforations were observed in active luminal CD areas, raising questions about the medication's role versus disease progression. Larger, longer studies are needed to clarify these findings. [28]

4. SPHINGOSINE 1-PHOSPHATE RECEPTOR MODULATORS (S1P)

 Ozanimod binds to S1P5 and S1P1 receptors, causing their internalization and reducing the B and T lymphocytes migration to the bloodstream as well as inflamed intestinal tissues. This mechanism helps to prevent the spread of inflammation. [19]

• In the STEPSTONE program, an open-label Phase II trial, patients with active CD received ozanimod 1 mg daily. At Weeks 12 and 52, colonoscopies showed that 43.3% and 26.7% of patients achieved $\geq 25\%$ and $\geq 50\%$ reductions in the Simple Endoscopic Score for Crohn's Disease (SESCD), respectively, especially in those with less active baseline endoscopic disease. By Week 12, CR, defined as a Crohn's Disease Activity Index (CDAI) decrease of ≥ 100 and a CDAI <150, was achieved in 66% and 46% of patients, respectively. Common side effects included abnormal liver function, nasopharyngitis, arthralgia, hypertension and rash. Rare side effects such as macular edema or bradycardia may occur due to nonselective agonism of S1P3 receptors. [29][30]

NON PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT

1. FAECAL MICROBIOTA TRANSPLANT

- Research into faecal microbiota transplant (FMT) has expanded beyond treating C. difficile infections to exploring its potential in managing IBD. Studies over the last two decades highlights the critical role of gut microbiota in IBD pathogenesis. Patients with IBD exhibit distinct faecal bacterial profiles, marked by higher levels of pathogenic bacteria like Escherichia coli, Campylobacter spp., and Mycobacterium avium, and lower levels of beneficial flora such as Bacteroides and Firmicutes. Additionally, IBD patients often decreased bacterial diversity and increased mucosal invasion, phenomena rarely seen in healthy individuals. [31]
- A systematic review of 18 studies assessing FMT as primary therapy for IBD showed promising outcomes. Among 122 FMTtreated patients, the overall remission rate was

45%. Subgroup analysis indicated high response in CD compared to UC, with 61% achieving CR in CD versus 22% in UC. [32]

- In a specific CD study, a pilot RCT assigned 24 patients in steroid-induced remission to receive either FMT or placebo via colonoscopy. The FMT group showed a significant reduction in the Crohn's Disease Endoscopic Index of Severity (CDEIS) at Week 6 compared to placebo (p=0.03). Although placebo group had higher CD flare rates, alpha diversity initially improved in the FMT group but normalized by Week 14, suggesting a temporary effect. Previous research suggested potential waning efficacy over time, though ongoing FMT therapies continue to show clinical promise. [33][34]
- 2. STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION (SCT)

Luminal disease

- SCT, once recognized for its coincidental benefit in reducing CD activity during treatment for other conditions, is now actively studied as a targeted therapy for CD itself. involves This approach intense immunoablative conditioning followed by the infusion of either matched donor stem cells (allogeneic) or the patient's own previously harvested cells (autologous). Allogeneic transplants aim to reset immune system genetically, while autologous transplants aim to replace aberrant immune responses with healthy stem cells, both with the goal of achieving complete inflammation healing and possibly resetting responses to previous ineffective treatments. [19]
- The ASTIC trial, a significant randomized controlled study, assessed autologous SCT in CD patients resistant to multiple immunosuppressive agents. While the primary goal of sustained remission without treatment at one year was not met, more SCT

recipients discontinued active treatment and showed notable improvements in clinical and endoscopic disease activity compared to standard care. A subsequent analysis revealed that a significant proportion achieved steroidfree clinical remission and complete endoscopic healing at early follow-up periods. However, serious adverse events, including one fatal case, prompted caution and early termination of the trial. [35][36]

• Following ASTIC, the ASTIClite study aimed to refine SCT protocols for safety and efficacy, but it also faced challenges and was discontinued. Consequently, SCT remains a last-resort option for severe, refractory CD cases, where potential benefits must be carefully balanced against substantial risks. Ongoing research aims to optimize SCT approaches to enhance safety and efficacy for this complex condition. [37]

Perianal disease

- Perianal disease in CD poses significant challenges due to its often poor response to conventional therapies, driving the search for innovative treatments. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), derived from adipose tissue, have been explored since 2003 for their potential in treating perianal CD. These cells are pluripotent, capable of differentiating into various tissue types, and exhibit immunomodulatory properties. They home to inflammation sites, site of release anticytokines, and inflammatory enhance regulatory T cells, which can help mitigate inflammation.
- Darvadstrocel (MSC therapy) has shown promising results in treating perianal Crohn's disease (CD) in a Phase III trial. By Week 24, significantly more patients treated with darvadstrocel achieved fistula healing compared to placebo (50% versus 34%; p=0.024), with sustained benefits observed

over one year (56.3% versus 38.6%; p=0.01). These findings led to darvadstrocel's approval in Europe, and ongoing studies like ADMIRECD II in the United States and realworld data from the INSPIRE registry continue to assess its effectiveness and safety. Cost remains a significant hurdle for wider adoption of this therapy. [38][39][40]

3. REGULATORY T CELL-BASED THERAPIES

- Inflammatory bowel disease arises from immune system dysregulation, marked by excessive inflammation and insufficient regulatory mechanisms. Regulatory T cells are crucial in maintaining immune balance by suppressing harmful immune responses, including those in the gut. Animal studies show that regulatory T cells can mitigate intestinal inflammation, suggesting their potential therapeutic role in IBD. [19]
- Efforts like the TRIBUTE trial in the UK are exploring the use of retinoic acid receptor-α-treated regulatory T cells, which may enhance their ability to migrate to inflamed gut tissues, potentially improving treatment outcomes for CD patients. [41]

4. DIET

Diet plays a significant role in both the development and management of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), with growing scientific interest and medical acknowledgment. Dietary interventions, such as exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN), which involves a liquid formula diet, have shown superiority over corticosteroids in inducing remission, particularly in pediatric CD. Despite challenges in adherence among adults. EEN is well-established in preoperative care to reduce surgical risks and is supported for early use after CD diagnosis. [19]

• The Crohn's disease exclusion diet (CDED) combined with partial enteral nutrition (PEN) has also been studied, showing comparable rates of corticosteroid-free remission to EEN in pediatric populations. CDED emphasizes lean proteins, starches, and fibers while excluding foods thought to disrupt gut microbiota and intestinal barrier function, potentially linking reduced short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) levels to IBD pathogenesis. [42]

NOVEL SURGICAL INTERVENTION

- It's recognized by ECCO guidelines that a stapled ileocolic side-to-side anastomosis is over hand-sewn end-to-end preferred techniques in Crohn's Disease (CD) surgery due to lower postoperative complications. Recent efforts to reduce postoperative recurrence (POR) in CD focus on optimizing surgery timing and mesentery management during anastomosis, though challenges like managing inflamed tissue safely and achieving effective hemostasis hinder extensive mesenteric resection adoption.
- Evidence from a retrospective study of 64 patients suggests that extensive mesenteric resection significantly reduces subsequent surgery needs compared to conventional methods, with minimal reoperations noted in the extensive resection group over two years. [43]
- The Kono-S technique, a large lumen, handsewn antimesenteric functional end-to-end anastomosis, has demonstrated effectiveness in reducing POR, supported by studies showing low recurrence rates at five and ten years. [44] [45]
- Early laparoscopic ileocolic resection, as highlighted by the LIR!C trial, has shown comparable efficacy to infliximab for treating active ileocaecal CD resistant to conventional therapies, with potential benefits including reduced subsequent surgery rates and

improved long-term treatment outcomes. [46] [47]

CONCLUSION

Each therapeutic approach is evaluated for efficacy, safety, and patient suitability, reflecting complex interplay between the genetic predisposition, environmental factors, and abnormal regulation of immune system in IBD etiopathogenesis. While biologic agents have revolutionized treatment paradigms, concerns regarding long-term safety, immunogenicity, and treatment resistance persist. Non-pharmacological strategies, though promising, require further validation through rigorous clinical trials to establish the efficacy and proper utilization in clinical practice. The incorporation of personalized medicine approaches, informed by advances in biomarker research and genetic profiling, holds promise for tailoring treatment regimens to individual patient profiles.

REFERENCES

- Chudy-Onwugaje KO, Christian KE, Farraye FA, Cross RK. A State-of-the-Art Review of New and Emerging Therapies for the Treatment of IBD. Inflammatory bowel diseases. 2019 Apr 11;25(5):820-30.
- Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, Hendy PA, Smith PJ, Limdi JK, Hayee BH, Lomer MC, Parkes GC, Selinger C, Barrett KJ. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. Gut. 2019 Dec 1;68(Suppl 3):s1-06.
- 3. Ghersin I, Khteeb N, Katz LH, Daher S, Shamir R, Assa A. Trends in the epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease among Jewish Israeli adolescents: а population-based study. Alimentary pharmacology therapeutics. 2019 & Mar;49(5):556-63.
- 4. Coward S, Clement F, Benchimol EI, Bernstein CN, Avina-Zubieta JA, Bitton A,

Carroll MW, Hazlewood G, Jacobson K, Jelinski S, Deardon R. Past and future burden of inflammatory bowel diseases based on modeling of population-based data. Gastroenterology. 2019 Apr 1;156(5):1345-53.

- Kumar A, Cole A, Segal J, Smith P, Limdi JK. A review of the therapeutic management of Crohn's disease. Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology. 2022 Feb;15:17562848221078456.
- Tamilarasan AG, Cunningham G, Irving PM, Samaan MA. Recent advances in monoclonal antibody therapy in IBD: practical issues. Frontline Gastroenterology. 2019 Oct 1;10(4):409-16.
- 7. Park SC, Jeen YT. Anti-integrin therapy for inflammatory bowel disease. World journal of gastroenterology. 2018 May 5;24(17):1868.
- Targan SR, Feagan BG, Fedorak RN, Lashner BA, Panaccione R, Present DH, Spehlmann ME, Rutgeerts PJ, Tulassay Z, Volfova M, Wolf DC. Natalizumab for the treatment of active Crohn's disease: results of the ENCORE Trial. Gastroenterology. 2007 May 1;132(5):1672-83.
- 9. Yednock TA, Cannon C, Fritz LC, Sanchez-Madrid F, Steinman L, Karin N. Prevention of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by antibodies against α 4 β 1 integrin. Nature. 1992 Mar 5;356(6364):63-6.
- Bloomgren G, Richman S, Hotermans C, Subramanyam M, Goelz S, Natarajan A, Lee S, Plavina T, Scanlon JV, Sandrock A, Bozic C. Risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012 May 17;366(20):1870-80.
- 11. Chalkley JJ, Berger JR. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in multiple sclerosis. Current neurology and neuroscience reports. 2013 Dec;13:1-7.

- 12. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Hanauer S, Colombel JF, Sands BE, Lukas M, Fedorak RN, Lee S, Bressler B, Fox I. Vedolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013 Aug 22;369(8):711-21.
- 13. Sands BE, Feagan BG, Rutgeerts P, Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Sy R, D'Haens G, Ben-Horin S, Xu J, Rosario M, Fox I. Effects of vedolizumab induction therapy for patients with Crohn's disease in whom tumor necrosis factor antagonist treatment failed. Gastroenterology. 2014 Sep 1;147(3):618-27.
- 14. Hazlewood GS, Rezaie A, Borman M, Panaccione R, Ghosh S, Seow CH, Kuenzig E, Tomlinson G, Siegel CA, Melmed GY, Kaplan GG. Comparative effectiveness of immunosuppressants and biologics for inducing and maintaining remission in Crohn's disease: a network meta-analysis. Gastroenterology. 2015 Feb 1;148(2):344-54.
- 15. Zurba Y, Gros B, Shehab M. Exploring the pipeline of novel therapies for inflammatory bowel disease; state of the art review. Biomedicines. 2023 Mar 1;11(3):747.
- 16. Sandborn WJ, Panés J, Danese S, Sharafali Z, Hassanali A, Jacob-Moffatt R, Eden C, Daperno M, Valentine JF, Laharie D, Baía C. Etrolizumab as induction and maintenance therapy in patients with moderately to severely active Crohn's disease (BERGAMOT): a randomised, placebocontrolled, double-blind, phase 3 trial. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2023 Jan 1;8(1):43-55.
- 17. Dai B, Hackney JA, Ichikawa R, Nguyen A, Elstrott J, Orozco LD, Sun KH, Modrusan Z, Gogineni A, Scherl A, Gubatan J. Dual targeting of lymphocyte homing and retention through α4β7 and αΕβ7 inhibition in

inflammatory bowel disease. Cell Reports Medicine. 2021 Aug 17;2(8).

- 18. Sandborn WJ, Cyrille M, Berner Hansen M, Feagan BG, Loftus Jr EV, Vermeire S, Cruz ML, Mo M, Sullivan BA, Reinisch W. OP035 Efficacy and safety of abrilumab (AMG 181/MEDI 7183) therapy for moderate to severe Crohn's disease. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2017 Feb 1;11(suppl_1):S22-3.
- Meade S, Luber RP, Tamilarasan G, Dinneen E, Irving PM, Samaan MA. Emerging Treatments for Crohn's Disease: Cells, Surgery, and Novel Therapeutics. EMJ. 2021 Mar;6(1):49-58.
- 20. d'Haens G, Panaccione R, Baert F, Bossuyt P, Colombel JF, Danese S, Dubinsky M, Feagan BG, Hisamatsu T, Lim A, Lindsay JO. Risankizumab as induction therapy for Crohn's disease: results from the phase 3 ADVANCE and MOTIVATE induction trials. The Lancet. 2022 May 28;399(10340):2015-30.
- 21. Ferrante M, Panaccione R, Baert F, Bossuyt P, Colombel JF, Danese S, Dubinsky M, Feagan BG, Hisamatsu T, Lim A, Lindsay JO. Risankizumab as maintenance therapy for moderately to severely active Crohn's disease: results from the multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, withdrawal phase 3 FORTIFY maintenance trial. The Lancet. 2022 May 28;399(10340):2031-46.
- 22. Sands BE, Chen J, Feagan BG, Penney M, Rees WA, Danese S, Higgins PD, Newbold P, Faggioni R, Patra K, Li J. Efficacy and safety of MEDI2070, an antibody against interleukin 23, in patients with moderate to severe Crohn's disease: a phase 2a study. Gastroenterology. 2017 Jul 1;153(1):77-86.
- 23. Sandborn WJ, Chan D, Johanns J, Lang G, Adedokun OJ, Afzali A. The efficacy and safety OF guselkumab induction therapy IN patients with moderately to severely active

CROHN'S disease: week 12 interim analyses from the phase 2 GALAXI 1 study. United Eur Gastroenterol J. 2020 Oct;8(8S):64.

- 24. Sandborn WJ, D'Haens GR, Reinisch W, Panés J, Chan D, Gonzalez S, Weisel K, Germinaro M, Frustaci ME, Yang Z, Adedokun OJ. Guselkumab for the treatment of Crohn's disease: induction results from the phase 2 GALAXI-1 study. Gastroenterology. 2022 May 1;162(6):1650-64.
- 25. Sands BE, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Kierkus J, Higgins PDR, Fischer M, Jairath V, Hirai F, D'Haens G, Belin RM, Miller D, Gomez-Valderas E, Naegeli AN, Tuttle JL, Pollack PF, Sandborn WJ. Efficacy and Safety of Mirikizumab in a Randomized Phase 2 Study of Patients With Crohn's Disease. Gastroenterology. 2022 Feb;162(2):495-508
- 26. Feagan BG, Sandborn WJ, Gasink C, Jacobstein D, Lang Y, Friedman JR, Blank MA, Johanns J, Gao LL, Miao Y, Adedokun OJ. Ustekinumab as induction and maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. New England journal of medicine. 2016 Nov 17;375(20):1946-60.
- 27. Vermeire S, Schreiber S, Petryka R, Kuehbacher T, Hebuterne X, Roblin X, Klopocka M, Goldis A, Wisniewska-Jarosinska M, Baranovsky A, Sike R. Clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease treated with filgotinib (the FITZROY study): results from a phase 2, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet. 2017 Jan 21;389(10066):266-75.
- 28. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Loftus Jr EV, Peyrin-Biroulet L, Van Assche G, D'haens G, Schreiber S, Colombel JF, Lewis JD, Ghosh S, Armuzzi A. Efficacy and safety of upadacitinib in a randomized trial of patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2020 Jun 1;158(8):2123-38.

- 29. Danese S, Furfaro F, Vetrano S. Targeting S1P in inflammatory bowel disease: new avenues for modulating intestinal leukocyte migration. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2018 Aug 22;12(suppl_2):S678-86.
- 30. Feagan BG, Sandborn WJ, Danese S, Wolf DC, Liu WJ, Hua SY, Minton N, Olson A, D'Haens G. Ozanimod induction therapy for patients with moderate to severe Crohn's disease: a single-arm, phase 2, prospective observer-blinded endpoint study. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2020 Sep 1;5(9):819-28.
- Hazel K, O'Connor A. Emerging treatments for inflammatory bowel disease. Therapeutic advances in chronic disease. 2020 Feb;11:2040622319899297.
- 32. Kleessen B, Kroesen AJ, Buhr HJ, Blaut M. Mucosal and invading bacteria in patients with inflammatory bowel disease compared with controls. Scandinavian journal of gastroenterology. 2002 Jan 1;37(9):1034-41.
- 33. Li P, Zhang T, Xiao Y, Tian L, Cui B, Ji G, Liu YY, Zhang F. Timing for the second fecal microbiota transplantation to maintain the long-term benefit from the first treatment for Crohn's disease. Applied microbiology and biotechnology. 2019 Jan;103:349-60.
- 34. Wang H, Cui B, Li Q, Ding X, Li P, Zhang T, Yang X, Ji G, Zhang F. The safety of fecal microbiota transplantation for Crohn's disease: findings from a long-term study. Advances in therapy. 2018 Nov;35:1935-44.
- 35. Hawkey CJ, Allez M, Clark MM, Labopin M, Lindsay JO, Ricart E, Rogler G, Rovira M, Satsangi J, Danese S, Russell N. Autologous hematopoetic stem cell transplantation for refractory Crohn disease: a randomized clinical trial. Jama. 2015 Dec 15;314(23):2524-34.
- 36. Lindsay JO, Allez M, Clark M, Labopin M, Ricart E, Rogler G, Rovira M, Satsangi J,

Farge D, Hawkey CJ, Allez M. Autologous stem-cell transplantation in treatmentrefractory Crohn's disease: an analysis of pooled data from the ASTIC trial. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2017 Jun 1;2(6):399-406.

- 37. Snowden JA, Hawkey C, Hind D, Swaby L, Mellor K, Emsley R, Mandefield L, Lee E, Badoglio M, Polge E, Labopin M. Autologous stem cell transplantation in refractory Crohn's disease–low intensity therapy evaluation (ASTIClite): study protocols for a multicentre, randomised controlled trial and observational follow up study. BMC gastroenterology. 2019 Dec;19:1-4.
- 38. Panés J, García-Olmo D, Van Assche G, Colombel JF, Reinisch W, Baumgart DC, Dignass A, Nachury M, Ferrante M, Kazemi-Shirazi L, Grimaud JC. Expanded allogeneic adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (Cx601) for complex perianal fistulas in Crohn's disease: a phase 3 randomised, double-blind controlled trial. The Lancet. 2016 Sep 24;388(10051):1281-90.
- 39. Panés J, García-Olmo D, Van Assche G, Colombel JF, Reinisch W, Baumgart DC, Dignass A, Nachury M, Ferrante M, Kazemi-Shirazi L, Grimaud JC. Long-term efficacy and safety of stem cell therapy (Cx601) for complex perianal fistulas in patients with Crohn's disease. Gastroenterology. 2018 Apr 1;154(5):1334-42.
- 40. Zmora O, Panés J, Drohan C, Khalid JM, Campbell-Hill S, Agboton C. P491 inspire: design and implementation aspects of a registry of complex perianal fistulas in crohn's disease patients treated with darvadstrocel. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2019 Jan 25;13(Supplement_1):S357-8.
- 41. Clough JN, Omer OS, Tasker S, Lord GM, Irving PM. Regulatory T-cell therapy in

Crohn's disease: challenges and advances. Gut. 2020 May 1;69(5):942-52.

- 42. Levine A, Wine E, Assa A, Boneh RS, Shaoul R, Kori M, Cohen S, Peleg S, Shamaly H, On A, Millman P. Crohn's disease exclusion diet plus partial enteral nutrition induces sustained remission in a randomized controlled trial. Gastroenterology. 2019 Aug 1;157(2):440-50.
- 43. Coffey CJ, Kiernan MG, Sahebally SM, Jarrar A, Burke JP, Kiely PA, Shen B, Waldron D, Peirce C, Moloney M, Skelly M. Inclusion of the mesentery in ileocolic resection for Crohn's disease is associated with reduced surgical recurrence. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2018 Oct;12(10):1139-50.
- 44. Kono T, Fichera A, Maeda K, Sakai Y, Ohge H, Krane M, Katsuno H, Fujiya M. Kono-S anastomosis for surgical prophylaxis of anastomotic recurrence in Crohn's disease: an international multicenter study. Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. 2016 Apr 1;20(4):783-90.
- 45. Luglio G, Rispo A, Imperatore N, Giglio MC, Amendola A, Tropeano FP, Peltrini R, Castiglione F, De Palma GD, Bucci L. Surgical prevention of anastomotic recurrence by excluding mesentery in Crohn's disease:

the SuPREMe-CD study-a randomized clinical trial. Annals of surgery. 2020 Aug 1;272(2):210-7.

- 46. Stevens T, Haasnoot LD, D'Haens G, Buskens C, de Groof EJ, Eshuis E, Gardenbroef T, Mol B, Stokkers P, Bemelman W, Ponsioen C. OP03 Reduced need for surgery and medical therapy after early ileocaecal resection for Crohn's disease: Long-term follow-up of the LIR! C trial. Journal of Crohn's and Colitis. 2020 Jan 15;14(Supplement_1):S003-4.
- 47. Ponsioen CY, de Groof EJ, Eshuis EJ, Gardenbroek TJ, Bossuyt PM, Hart A, Warusavitarne J, Buskens CJ, van Bodegraven AA, Brink MA, Consten EC. Laparoscopic ileocaecal resection versus infliximab for terminal ileitis in Crohn's disease: a randomised controlled, open-label, multicentre trial. The lancet Gastroenterology & hepatology. 2017 Nov 1;2(11):785-92

HOW TO CITE: Abd Al Rahman Ismail, Sudhamshu K Tantry, A. R. Shabaraya, Emerging Therapies And Advances In The Management Of Crohn's Disease, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2024, Vol 2, Issue 9, 676-687. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13756060

