

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00] Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com



Research Article

Formulation And Evaluation of Floating Tablets of Acetazolamide

Femina Aranha*, Anusha

Department of Pharmaceutics, Karavali College of Pharmacy, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Published: 23 June 2025 Keywords: Acetazolamide, floating drug delivery, In-vitro release, kinetic models, Invitro buoyancy DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15722569

Gastro retentive drug delivery is an approach to prolong gastric residence time, there by targeting site-specific drug release in the upper gastro intestinal tract (GIT) for local and systemic effect. The present study has been a satisfactory attempt to formulate floating drug delivery system of Acetazolamide, an orally administered Carbonic anhydrase inhibitor which is used in the treatment of glaucoma with a view of improving its bioavailability and giving controlled release of drug. In the present study 6 formulations were prepared by using polymer like HPMC K100M and HPMC K15M in different concentration ratio and combination of polymer by direct compression method. Citric acid and sodium bicarbonate is used as gas generating agent. The prepared tablets were evaluated for precompression parameters like bulk density, tapped density, angle of repose, Carr's index, Hausner's ratio. The formulations evaluated for post compression parameters like Hardness, friability, weight variation, thickness, drug content and swelling index. All the formulations show result in acceptable range. All the twelve formulations were subjected to in-vitro buoyancy and dissolution study. Based on results obtained for pre compression parameters and post compression parameters, in-vitro dissolution study two best formulations were selected and validated. Short-term stability study was done for four best formulations.

INTRODUCTION

Despite the tremendous advancement in drug delivery, oral route is the most preferred route to the systemic delivery. Controlled release systems for oral use are mostly solid and based on dissolution or diffusion or combination of both the mechanisms in the control release rate of the drug. Drugs that are easily absorbed from the gastro intestinal tract (GIT) and have short half lives are eliminated quickly from the systemic circulation. Frequent dosing of these drugs is required to achieve suitable therapeutic activity. To avoid this limitation, the development of oral sustainedcontrolled release formulations is an attempt to release the drug slowly into the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and maintain an effective drug concentration in the systemic circulation for a long

*Corresponding Author: Femina Aranha

Address: Department of pharmaceutics, Karavali college of pharmacy, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Email : feminaaranha@gmail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

time. After oral administration, such a drug delivery would be retained in the stomach and release the drug in controlled manner, so that the drug could be supplied continuously to its absorption sites in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT). Prolonged gastric retention improves bioavailability, increases the duration of drug release, reduces drug waste, and improves the drug solubility that are less soluble in a high pH environment. Also prolonged gastric retention time (GRT) in the stomach could be advantageous for local action in the upper part of the small intestine e.g. treatment of peptic ulcer, etc. Gastroretentive drug delivery is an approach to prolong gastric residence time, thereby targeting sitespecific drug release in the upper gastrointestinal tract (GIT) for local or systemic effects.¹

Action of Acetazolamide in Glaucoma:

Acetazolamide is a synthetic carbonicanhydrase inhibitor, effective in the control of fluid secretion. It is used in the preoperative management of closeangle glaucoma, or as an adjunct in the treatment of open-angle glaucoma and oedema due to congestive heart failure, drug-induced oedema and centre cephalic epilepsies. Acetazolamide is rapidly and almost completely absorbed from the GI tract ($\approx 100\%$), reaching peak plasma concentrations approximately 1-3 hrs after oral administration. It irreversibly inhibits the enzyme carbonic anhydrase resulting in reduction of hydrogen ion secretion at renal tubules and an increased renal excretion of sodium, potassium, bicarbonate and water to decrease production of aqueous humor. It also inhibits carbonic anhydrase in central nervous system to retard abnormal and excessive discharge from CNS neurons. Glaucoma is a group of diseases of the eye characterized by damage to the ganglion cells and the optic nerve. If left untreated, these effects may lead to various degrees of loss of vision and blindness. Increased

intraocular pressure (IOP) remains the most important risk factor for the development of glaucoma. Acetazolamide is an enzyme inhibitor that acts specifically on carbonic anhydrase, the enzyme that catalysis the reversible reaction involving the hydration of carbon and the dehydration of carbonic acid. In eye, the inhibitory action of Acetazolamide decreases the secretion of aqueous humor in ciliary body and results in drop in intraocular pressure. Carbonic anhydrase (CA), an enzyme present in the eye, reversibly catalyses the reaction of H2O and CO2 to form carbonic acid and subsequently, the bicarbonate ion. The HCO3⁻ ion is responsible for the movement of Na⁻ into the eye. Water follows Na⁻ to form the aqueous humor. CA inhibition by agents such as ACZ, Methazolamide and Diclophenamide, decreases HCO3⁻ concentration and therefore the flow of Na⁻ and H2O into the posterior chamber, thus, resulting in a decreased production of aqueous humor and hence a lowering of intra ocular pressure.

METHODS

Preformulation Studies

Preformulation is defined as application of biopharmaceutical principles to the physicochemical properties of the drug. It is a phase of R & D process.

Solubility studies:

To understand the solubility profile of Acetazolamide, saturation solubility studies are carried out in various media with varying pH range. The solubility is determined in 0.1N HCl, and water.⁴

Melting point:

Melting point of Acetazolamide is determined by open capillary method.⁴



Spectroscopic studies:

Determination of λ max:

Stock solution (1000 μ g/ml) of Acetazolamide was prepared using 0.1N HCl. This solution was appropriately diluted with 0.1N HCl to obtain concentration of 10 μ g/ml. The resultant solution was scanned in the range of 200-400nm on double beam UV Spectrophotometer.

Standard calibration curve of Acetazolamide:

A concentration of $2\mu g/ml$, $4\mu g/ml$, $6\mu g/ml$ $8,\mu g/ml$, $10\mu g/ml$, $12\mu g/ml$, $14\mu g/ml$, $16\mu g/ml$ of Acetazolamide solution prepared. The absorbance of the solution measured at 266nm using 0.1N HCl as blank.⁵

Drug excipient compatibility study:

Jasco FTIR 4100 used to investigate and predict any physiochemical interaction between different excipients. A physical mixture of drug, polymer and other excipients were prepared. It was scanned from 4000 to 400 cm-1 in a FTIR spectrophotometer.³

Method of preparation of floating tablets:

Floating Tablets of Acetazolamide were prepared by direct compression technique using varying concentration of different grades of polymer with sodium bicarbonate and citric acid. Weighed ingredients passed through the sieve number 60. Then except magnesium stearate, talc all other ingredients were blended uniformly in glass mortar. After sufficient mixing of the other ingredients magnesium stearate was added, as the lubricant, and further component talc added and mixed for additional 2-3 minutes. The tablets were compressed using tablet compression machine.¹⁶

Ingredients(mg)	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6
Acetazolamide(mg)	125	125	125	125	125	125
HPMCK100M (mg)	40	80	120			
HPMCK15M(mg)				40	80	120
NaHCO ₃ (mg)	40	40	40	40	40	40
Citricacid (mg)	10	10	10	10	10	10
Lactose(mg)	50	50	50	50	50	50
MCC (mg)	121	81	41	121	81	41
Talc(mg)	7	7	7	7	7	7
Mgstearate (mg)	7	7	7	7	7	7
Total weight (mg)	400	400	400	400	400	400

Table No.1: Formulation chart

Precompression parameters:

Angle of repose:

Angle of repose (θ) of the powder, which measures theresistance to particle flow, was determined by a fixed funnel method. The height and diameter of the powder cone was measured and angle of repose was calculated using the following equation.⁶ $\tan \theta = h / r \text{ or } \theta = \tan^{-1} (h / r)$

Where,

h = height of pile

 $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{radius}$ of the base of the pile

 θ = angle of repose.

Bulk density & Tapped density:



Bulk densities of granules were determined by pouring gently weighed sample through a glass funnel into a 100 ml graduated cylinder. The

volume occupied by the sample was recorded. Bulk density and tapped density were calculated by the formula^{.6,}

Bulk density = Weight of the powder mixture(W) Initialvolumeoccupiedby the powder (V Tapped density = Weight of the powder mixture(W) Final volume occupied by the powder mixture (V_f) Compressibility Index = Tapped Density⁻ Bulk density Tapped density ×100 **Post compressional parameter of Tablets:** Friability test:

20 tablets were selected randomly from the lot and weighted individually to check for weight variation. The average weight is calculated. Percentage weight variation calculated using following formula.⁷

 $W = W_A - W_I / W_A \times 100$

%W= Percentage weight variation, W_A= Average weight, W_I= Individual weight

Hardness:

Weight variation:

Hardness of the tablet was determined by using the Monsanto hardness tester.⁷

Thickness:

The thicknesses of six tablets were measured using Vernier calipers.14

The 20 previously weighed tablets placed in the friability apparatus, which is given 100 revolutions and the tablets are reweighed. The percentage friability is calculated by using thefollowingformula.⁷

%F=Wi-WF/Wi×100

%F=Percentage friability, W_i= Initial Weight, W_F=Final weight

Drug content:

The quantity of tablet powder equivalent to 100mg of Acetazolamide is transferred in to a 100 ml volumetric flask and the volume is adjusted to 100 ml with 0.1N HCl. Further, 1ml of the above filtrate diluted to 100 ml with 0.1N HCl and the absorbance of the resulting solution observed at absorption maximum.¹⁸

Swelling Index:



Swelling index of the tablet determined in 0.1N HCl at the room temperature. Each batch tablet is weighed and placed in beaker containing 100ml of 0.1N HCl. The swollen weight of the tablet determined at defined time intervals. The swelling index is determined in percentage. Percent swelling is calculated by using formula^{.7}

$SI=W_F-W_I/W_I \times 100$

%SI= Percentage swelling index, W_F=Final weight, W_I=Initial weight

In-vitro buoyancy studies:

The in vitro buoyancy is determined by floating lag time and total floating time. The tablets are placed in a 100ml beaker containing 0.1N HCl. The time required for the tablet to rise to the surface and float is determined as floating lag time (FLT) and the duration of the time the tablet constantly floats on the dissolution medium is noted as the total floating time respectively (TFT). The 900ml of 0.1 HCl (pH 1.2) is placed in the vessel in USP apparatus –II. The medium is equilibrated to the temperature of $37\Box 0.5^{\circ}$ C. The tablet is placed in the vessel up to 12 hours at 50 rpm. At definite time intervals, 5 ml of the fluid is withdrawn; filtered and again 5ml of the fresh dissolution fluid is replaced and analyzed spectrophotometrically at absorption maximum.¹⁴

Stability studies:

The stability studies conducted on optimized formulation. The formulations are packed with aluminum foil and subjected to stability studies at different temperature and humidity condition as per ICH guidelines viz. room temperature (30°C) and 75%RH. Samples were withdrawn at time intervals of 0, 30, and 60 and 90 days. These are evaluated for possible weight gain, drug content and in-vitro drug release.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Physicochemical Properties of Drug:

10		Sumorepute pr	operties	
Properties	Rep	orted	Re	sults
Appearance	White	powder	White	powder
Taste	Bi	tter	B	itter
Odour	Odo	urless	Odo	ourless
	Water	10mg/ml	Water	10mg/ml
	0.1NHCL	12mg/ml	0.1NHCL	11mg/ml
Solubility	Ethanol	0.75mg/ml	Ethanol	0.70mg/ml
Melting point	260	$0.5^{\circ}C$	25	59°C
Identification (UV)	265	5nm	26	6nm

Table No.2: Organoleptic properties

Determination of λmax:

Dissolution study:

This is performed by using UV spectrophotometer by using 0.1N HCl as medium. Maximum

absorbance was found at 266nm as shown in the figure no 1.







Standard calibration curve for Acetazolamide:

Sino	Concentration (µg/ml)	Absorbance (*)
1	0	0
2	2	0.116 0.021
3	4	0.240 0.013
4	6	0.359 0.034
5	8	0.455 0.021
6	10	0.559 0.022
7	12	0.683 0.016
8	14	0.788 0.033
9	16	0.908 0.011

Table No.3: Spectrophotometric data for standard curve of Acetazolamide



Figure No.2: Standard graph of Acetazolamide with 0.1NHCl

Drug excipients compatibility study:



The possible interaction between the drug, polymer and the other excipients was studied by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.



Figure No. 3: FTIR spectra of Acetazolamide



Figure No.4: FTIR spectra of Acetazolamide with HPMC K100M



Figure No.5: FTIR spectroscopy of Acetazolamide with HPMC K15M



Figure No. 6: FTIR spectroscopy of Acetazolamide with all excipients

Compatibility studies were performed using FTIR spectrophotometer. The peaks obtained in the spectra of each physical mixture correlates with the peaks of drug spectrum.

Evaluation parameter:

Pre compression parameters:

Table No 4: Pre compressional	parameters of F1 to F3 c	ontaining HPMC K100M

Formulation Code	Bulk density (gm/cc±SD*)	Tapped density (gm/cc±SD*)	Hausner's ratio (*)	Carr's Index(%±SD*)	Angle of repose (θ±SD*)
F1	0.408 ± 0.021	0.472±0.033	1.157±0.02	13.61±0.15	25 55±0.40
F2	0.403 ± 0.025	0.468±0.012	1.162±0.01	13.95±0.09	26 30±0.39
F3	0.406 ± 0.022	0.473±0.012	1.165±0.15	14.21±0.58	27 14±0.10

Table No.5: Pre compressional parameters of F4 to F6 containing HPMC K15M:

Formulation Code	Bulk density (gm/cc±SD*)	Tapped density (gm/cc±SD*)	Hausner's Ratio (*)	Carr's Index (%±SD*)	Angle of repose (θ±SD*)
F4	0.382 0.073	0.454 0.025	1.18 0.20	15.88 0.09	25 17 0.33
F5	0.379 0.048	0.468 0.073	1.23 0.05	18.93 0.15	26 05 0.54
F6	0.387 0.025	0.454 0.025	1.17 0.12	14.82 0.21	26 13 0.13

Post Compressional Parameters:

The six formulations were prepared by using HPMC K15M, HPMC K100M by direct compression method.

The prepared Tablets were evaluated for Hardness, friability, uniformity of weight, uniformity of drug content, Floating lag time, Total floating time, *in-vitro* dissolution.

Table No.6: Post Compressional Parameters of F1 to F3 containing HPMC K100M

Formulation Code	Hardness (kg/cm ² ±SD*)	Friability(%±S D*)	Thickness(mm± SD*)	Weight variation (mg±SD*)	Drug Content (%±SD*)
F1	3.73 0.05	0.49 0.02	5.00 0.50	400 0.88	96.25 0.68
F2	3.97 0.03	0.50 0.05	5.30 0.27	400 1.35	98.0 0.50
F3	3.90 0.53	0.27 0.02	5.20 0.25	400 2.15	97.93 0.36



Femina Aranha, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 6, 3618-3629 | Research

Formulation Code	Hardnes (kg/cm ² ±SD*)	Friabily (%±SD)	Thickness (mm±SD*)	Weight Variation (mg±SD*)	Drug Conten (%±SD*)
F4	3.70 0.01	0.50 0.03	5.20 0.22	400 1.47	97.56 0.44
F5	3.80 0.23	0.90 0.04	5.05 0.62	400 1.67	96.8 0.24
F6	4.02 0.03	0.49 0.07	5.10 0.77	400 1.82	96.8 0.57

Table 7: Pos	t Compressional	Parameters of F4 to	F6 Formulation	HPMC K15M
--------------	-----------------	---------------------	----------------	-----------

In-vitro studies:

In-vitro Buoyancy studies:

Formulation Code	Floating lag time (seconds)	Total Floating time (hours)
F1	46	6
F2	22	10
F 3	15	12
F4	49	7
F5	14	9
F6	11	12

Table8: In-vitro Buoyancy studies of all formulation

Results of all formulation were tabulated in the table no 20. Formulation F6 showed least Floating lag time 11seconds, where formulation F4 showed highest floating lag time 49 seconds. The formulation F1 showed least total floating time 6

hours and the formulation F3, F6, showed highest total floating time 12 hours.

Swelling Characteristics:

Formulation	Initial weight of table		Time(hours)		%SI
	(mg)	2	4	6	
F1	400 0.18	475.15 0.25	500.33 0.44	52.14 0.53	31.35
F2	395 0.4	550.12 0.33	580.11 0.32	600.33 0.07	51.89
F3	410 0.31	590.24 0.45	650.16 0.42	685.21 0.08	67.07
F4	400 0.83	440.21 0.08	460.45 0.332	475.10 0.5	15.85
F5	410 0.50	470.13 0.33	500.08 0.12	530.55 0.54	32.5
F6	400 0.55	510.06 0.15	550.12 0.34	585.43 0.32	42.68

In-vitro dissolution study:

In-vitro dissolution study of all formulations

In-vitro drug release study was performed using USP dissolution apparatus at 50 rpm using 900ml of 0.1N HCl maintained at 37.5°C as the dissolution medium.

Table 7. In-vino dissolution study of F1-F5 for mulation containing III MC KTOW

Time (hours)	Percentage cumulative Drug Release (%)			
	F1	F2	F3	
1	59.36	22.80	13.74	
2	71.18	35.33	17.63	
3	79.14	37.81	23.33	
4	89.12	44.51	34.16	



5	95.20	50.07	37.31
6	99.11	59.10	40.44
7		68.04	43.9
8		77.27	50.57
9		89.34	58.24
10		99.09	65.41
11			71.70
12			82.64

Femina Aranha, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 6, 3618-3629 | Research



Figure7: In-vitro dissolution of F1-F3 formulation containing HPMC K100M

	Percentage cumulative Drug			
Time (hours)	Release (%)			
	F4	F5	F6	
1	54.26	17.83	17.63	
2	61.66	22.12	22.00	
3	71.91	27.14	25.37	
4	79.45	33.03	31.14	
5	91.77	41.87	42.89	
6	97.93	66.46	53.09	
7	99.00	77.98	56.72	
8		85.80	66.76	
9		89.34	74.19	
10		99.42	82.72	
11			87.98	
12			94.81	

Table10: In-vitro dissolution study of F4-F6 formulation containing HPMC K15M



Figure8: In-vitro dissolution studies of F4-F5 formulation containing HPMC K15M

Stability Studies:

Time(month)	Drug Content	Floating Bel	Drug release atend	
	(%)	Floating Lag time (sec)	Total Floating time (hrs)	of 12hrs (%)
	*	*	*	*
Zero	97.93	15	12	82.64
1 month	97.33	14	12	82.60
2 month	97.00	14	12	82.44
3 month	96.55	14	12	82.30

Table11: Stability study of best formulation F3

Time(month)	Drug Content	Floating Behavior		Drug release at end of 12hrs
	(%)	Floating Lag time (sec)	Total Floating time (hrs)	(%)
	*	*	*	*
Zero	96.8	11	12	94.81
1 month	96.5	11	12	94.52
2 months	96.1	11	12	94.10
3 months	95.5	10	12	93.89

Table12: Stability study of best formulationF6

Short term accelerated stability study was performed on the best formulations by storing the sample at 30 2°C with 75 5% RH for 90 days. The sample were tested for any changes in physical appearance, drug content, In-vitro buoyancy study, *in-vitro* drug release studies at monthly intervals. The results of stability did not show any significant change in physical appearance, drug content, floating lag time and *in-vitro* dissolution studies of above two formulations as shown in the TableNo11 and 12.

REFERENCES

- Ved P, Vikas J, Saurabh M, Vidushi S, Deepika, Shiv KY: A review on gastroretentive drug delivery system. Int J Pharm & Life Sci 2011;2(5):773-81.
- 2. Srinivas B, Nansari SG, Soumik G, Subal D, Santhosh K, Abhishek D et al., Design and evaluation of extended release capsules of



Acetazolamide. Indo Am J Pharma Res 2011 ;1(4):210-25.

- 3. Acetazolamide :(cited on 09 june 2025) available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Acetazolamide.
- 4. Sanjay D, Snigdha D, Bhaskar M. Formulation and evaluation of floating matrix tablet of Atenolol for gastro-retentive drug delivery. Int J Phar Pharm Sci 2012;4(3):433-37.
- 5. Parthibarajan R, Gowrishankar NL, Prashanth D, Pavani G, Pavan J, Madhavi K. Formulation and in-vitro evaluation of floating microspheres of Acetazolamide. Int J of Phar Pharm Sci 2013;5(1):447-53.
- Bharkatiya M, Kitawat S, Ojha A. Floating drug delivery system: A review. J Drug Del &Therapeu 2014; 4(2):130-34.
- Kshetrimayum DD, Nandan KP, Uday BN, Yashraj G. Tramadol Hydrochloride floating tablets: Formulation, in-vitro studies and influence of polymer excipients. Int J Pharm Bio Sci 2012;3(4):98-106.
- Chowdary KPR, Ravi KS, Vijay T. Floating drug delivery system- A review of recent research. Int Res J Pharm App Sci 2014; 4(2):14-24.
- 9. Neha. An Updated review on: Floating Drug delivery system (FDDS). Int J App Pharm 2011;3(1):1-7.
- 10. Chien YW. Novel drug delivery systems. Vol.50, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York 1992;50:139-77.
- 11. Sai SP, Rajkumar K, Anusha P, Rajesh KR, Suryasri LA, Ramamohan KR. Bilayer floating tablet for gastro retentive drug delivery system. Int J Pharm Sci Nonotech 2013; 6(3):2097-111.
- Amit KN, Ruma M, Biswarup D. Gastroretentive drug delivery systems: a review. Asian J Pharma Clinical Res 2010; 3(1):1-10.

- Kavitha K, Narendra CT, Rajesh G, Ramesh S, Shivaleela S, Lavanya P et al., Formulation and evaluation of Ranitidine floating tablets. Int J Pharm chem and Bio Sci 2013;3(3):761-66.
- 14. Kapoor D, Vyas RB, Lad C, Patel M, Sharma S. Formulation and evaluation of stomach specific floating tablet of anti–ulcer drug. World J Phar pharm Sci 2014; 3(5):1534-45.
- Adimoolam S, Rahul GR, Prashant SS, Sonal UK, Narayana SVB. Formulation and evaluation of floating tablets of Tizanidine hydrochloride. Int Res J Pharm 2011;2(8):124-29.
- 16. Permender R, Manish J, Sushila R, Arun N, Aashima H. Gastroretentive drug delivery systems: A review of formulation approaches. Pharm J 2012;1(8):79-108.
- 17. Nidhi D, Raughvendra S, Pragya S. Gastroprotective drug delivery system for a Floating tablet-A Review. Am J Pharm Health Res 2014; 2(4):20-35.
- 18. Srinivas B, Nansri SG, Soumik G, Subal D, Santhosh KC, Abhishek D et al., Design and evaluation of extended release capsules of Acetazolamide Using Eudragit RS100 And Eudragit RL100. Indo Am J Pharm Res 2011;1(4):210-25.

HOWTOCITE:FeminaAranha*,Anusha,Formulation and Evaluation of Floating Tablets of
Acetazolamide, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3,
Issue6,3618-3629.https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15722569

