INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]
Journal Homepage: https://www.ijpsjournal.com

OPEN ACCESS

Review Article

Nanoparticle-Enhanced Chemotherapy in Metastatic Cancers: Clinical Evidence, Emerging Therapies, and Future Prospects

Mediga Sumalatha*, Panjagalla Siva Gangadhar, Yashmeen Nikhat, Menuga Chitra

Dr. K V Subba Reddy Institute of Pharmacy, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Published: 02 Sept 2025

Keywords:

Metastatic cancer; Nanoparticle drug delivery; Chemotherapy; Targeted therapy; Liposomes; Nanomedicine

DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.17038153

ABSTRACT

Metastatic cancers remain among the most challenging conditions in oncology due to their resistance to conventional chemotherapies and systemic toxicities associated with treatment. Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems have emerged as promising solutions to enhance chemotherapy's therapeutic index by improving drug targeting, bioavailability, and minimizing off-target effects. These nanoscale platforms—including liposomes, dendrimers, polymeric nanoparticles, and metallic nanocarriers—are engineered to overcome biological barriers and release chemotherapeutic agents preferentially at tumor sites, utilizing passive or active targeting strategies. Clinical evidence supports the use of several nanoparticle formulations, such as liposomal doxorubicin (Doxil®) and albumin-bound paclitaxel (Abraxane®), in improving survival and reducing toxicity in metastatic breast, ovarian, and pancreatic cancers. Moreover, nanoparticles have been utilized to co-deliver drugs and imaging agents for real-time tumor tracking and personalized treatment planning. Trials investigating newer formulations, including gold nanoparticles, iron oxide particles, and polymeric micelles, are underway to address drug resistance, immune evasion, and heterogeneity within metastatic tumors. Emerging research emphasizes the integration of nanomedicine with immunotherapy, photothermal therapy, and gene delivery, representing a multidimensional approach to cancer care. However, challenges such as large-scale manufacturing, regulatory approval, and long-term toxicity remain critical hurdles. Additionally, the tumor microenvironment's complexity requires the continued development of stimuli-responsive and intelligent delivery systems. This review highlights the current clinical status of nanoparticle-enhanced chemotherapy in metastatic cancers, explores the latest technological innovations, and discusses the future potential of this evolving field. As research progresses, the convergence of nanotechnology, molecular oncology, and personalized medicine promises to revolutionize the treatment paradigm for metastatic cancers, offering renewed hope for

*Corresponding Author: Mediga

Address: *Dr. K V Subba Reddy Institute of Pharmacy, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

Email : medigasumalatha4@gmail.com

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

improved outcomes and quality of life.

INTRODUCTION

Metastatic cancer, characterized by the spread of malignant cells from the primary tumor to distant organs, represents the leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. Traditional chemotherapy, while still a cornerstone of metastatic cancer management, is often limited by systemic toxicity, non-specific biodistribution, rapid drug clearance, and the development of multidrug resistance. These limitations necessitate the exploration of novel therapeutic strategies to enhance efficacy while minimizing adverse effects.

Nanotechnology has emerged as a transformative tool in oncology, particularly in the realm of drug delivery. Nanoparticles, typically ranging in size from 10 to 200 nanometers, offer unique advantages such as enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect, increased surface area, tunable physicochemical properties, and the potential for functionalization with targeting ligands. These characteristics enable precise delivery of chemotherapeutic agents directly to tumor sites, reducing systemic exposure and enhancing drug concentration in the tumor microenvironment.

The incorporation of nanoparticles into chemotherapy regimens has resulted in several FDA-approved formulations that have demonstrated clinical success in treating metastatic cancers. These platforms not only improve drug solubility and stability but also facilitate controlled release and reduced immunogenicity. Moreover, advances in nanomedicine are now enabling combinatorial approaches that integrate diagnostics, imaging, and therapy (theranostics).

Despite these advancements, significant challenges remain, including biological barriers, tumor heterogeneity, and regulatory complexities. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the clinical application of nanoparticles in metastatic cancer chemotherapy, summarizes the latest developments, and examines emerging strategies that promise to reshape the future of cancer treatment

2. Clinical Applications in Metastatic Cancers

- **Breast Cancer:** Abraxane shows improved progression-free survival in metastatic settings compared to standard paclitaxel.
- **Ovarian Cancer:** Doxil reduces cardiotoxicity in anthracycline-treated patients.
- **Pancreatic Cancer:** Abraxane plus gemcitabine is a first-line regimen.
- **Lung Cancer:** Trials with liposomal cisplatin show reduced nephrotoxicity.

2.1 FDA-Approved Nanoparticle Chemotherapies

Several nanomedicine formulations have been approved for clinical use in metastatic cancer therapy:

- **Doxil® (liposomal doxorubicin):** Approved for metastatic breast and ovarian cancer, Doxil improves drug bioavailability and

***Corresponding Author:** Mediga Sumalatha
Address: *Dr. K V Subba Reddy Institute of Pharmacy, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, India.*
Email : medigasumalatha4@gmail.com
Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

reduces cardiotoxicity compared to free doxorubicin [1].

- **Abraxane® (albumin-bound paclitaxel):** Approved for metastatic breast, lung, and pancreatic cancers. Abraxane bypasses solvent-related toxicity and enhances intratumoral accumulation [2].
- **Onivyde® (liposomal irinotecan):** Used for metastatic pancreatic cancer, improving median overall survival in combination regimens [3].

2.2 Types of nano particles

- **Liposomes:** Phospholipid vesicles (e.g., Doxil®) used to encapsulate hydrophilic drugs.
- **Polymeric nanoparticles:** Biodegradable polymers like PLGA enhance controlled release (e.g., Genexol-PM).
- **Albumin-bound nanoparticles:** Abraxane® binds paclitaxel with albumin, increasing delivery to tumors.
- **Dendrimers:** Branched polymers with high drug-loading capacity.
- **Metallic nanoparticles:** Gold and iron oxide nanoparticles enable photothermal therapy and imaging.

2.3 Ongoing Clinical Trials

Clinical trials continue to assess newer NP formulations:

- **CRLX101,** a camptothecin-loaded cyclodextrin NP, has shown promising results in phase II trials for metastatic renal and ovarian cancer [4].
- **BIND-014,** a PSMA-targeted docetaxel NP, has been evaluated in metastatic prostate and non-small-cell lung cancers with improved pharmacodynamics [5].

3. Emerging Nanoparticle Strategies

3.1 Active Targeting Mechanisms

Functionalizing NPs with ligands such as antibodies, peptides, or aptamers allows for selective binding to tumor-specific markers (e.g., HER2, EGFR, folate receptor). This enhances uptake by cancer cells and minimizes damage to healthy tissues [6].

3.2 Stimuli-Responsive Nanocarriers

Smart NPs respond to tumor microenvironment cues such as pH, redox potential, or enzymes, enabling controlled drug release. These NPs exhibit increased precision in delivering drugs within metastatic niches [7].

3.3 Combination and Co-delivery Systems

Multifunctional NPs can carry more than one agent, combining chemotherapy with immunotherapy, siRNA, or photothermal agents. Such strategies enhance synergy and overcome drug resistance [8].

- **Stimuli-responsive nanoparticles:** Release drugs in response to pH, enzymes, or temperature.
- **Theramostics:** Integration of therapy and diagnostics, e.g., SPIONs (superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles).
- **Nano-immunotherapy:** Co-delivery of chemotherapy and immune modulators (e.g., PD-L1 siRNA).
- **Gene delivery:** CRISPR and siRNA-loaded nanoparticles to silence oncogenes.

4. Challenges and Limitations

Despite promising results, challenges remain:

- Tumor heterogeneity and the complexity of metastatic microenvironments can hinder NP penetration and uptake.
- Immune clearance and RES (reticuloendothelial system) sequestration can reduce systemic circulation time.
- Scale-up manufacturing, regulatory approval, and long-term toxicity are critical barriers to clinical translation [9].

5. Future Prospects

The future of nanoparticle-enhanced chemotherapy lies in:

- Personalized nanomedicine, integrating patient-specific tumor markers and pharmacogenomics.
- Artificial intelligence and machine learning to optimize NP design and predict therapeutic outcomes.
- Hybrid platforms, combining diagnostics (theranostics) with treatment to enable real-time monitoring.
- Tumor heterogeneity reduces targeting efficacy.
- Immune clearance and opsonization impact circulation time.
- Scale-up and reproducibility issues in manufacturing.
- Regulatory and safety evaluations require standardized protocol.

Future directions include multifunctional platforms, artificial intelligence-guided nanoparticle design, and patient-specific nanomedicine approaches to optimize therapy outcomes.

With advancements in materials science, bioengineering, and computational modeling, the next generation of NP-based chemotherapeutics is poised to revolutionize the management of metastatic cancers.

REFERENCES

1. Barenholz Y. Doxil® — the first FDA-approved nano-drug: lessons learned. J Control Release. 2012;160(2):117-34.
2. Gradishar WJ. Albumin-bound paclitaxel: a next-generation taxane. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2006;7(8):1041-53.
3. Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, et al. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007;2(12):751-60.
4. Wang AZ, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticle delivery of cancer drugs. Annu Rev Med. 2012;63:185-98.
5. Bobo D, Robinson KJ, Islam J, et al. Nanoparticle-based medicines: A review of FDA-approved materials and clinical trials to date. Pharm Res. 2016;33(10):2373-87.
6. Zamboni WC, Torchilin V, Patri AK, et al. Best practices in cancer nanotechnology: Perspective from NCI Nanotechnology Alliance. Clin Cancer Res. 2012;18(12):3229-41.
7. Kunjachan S, Ehling J, Storm G, et al. Noninvasive imaging of nanomedicines and nanotheranostics: principles, progress and prospects. Chem Rev. 2015;115(19):10907-37.
8. Bae YH, Park K. Targeted drug delivery to tumors: Myths, reality and possibility. J Control Release. 2011;153(3):198-205.
9. Bertrand N, Wu J, Xu X, et al. Cancer nanotechnology: The impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancer biology. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2014;66:2-25.
10. Shi J, Kantoff PW, Wooster R, Farokhzad OC. Cancer nanomedicine: Progress, challenges and opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17(1):20-37.

11. Desai, N. (2007). Nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel (Abraxane®). Journal of Controlled Release, 120(1–2), 103–110.
12. Wang-Gillam, A., et al. (2016). Nanoliposomal irinotecan with fluorouracil and folinic acid in metastatic pancreatic cancer. The Lancet, 387(10018), 545–557.
13. Heath, J. R., et al. (2013). Clinical development of nanoparticle therapeutics. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 12(7), 463–475.
14. Hrkach, J., et al. (2012). Preclinical development and clinical translation of a PSMA-targeted docetaxel nanoparticle with a differentiated pharmacological profile. Science Translational Medicine, 4(128), 128ra39.
15. Torchilin, V. P. (2011). Tumor delivery of macromolecular drugs based on the EPR effect. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 63(3), 131–135.
16. Raza, A., et al. (2019). Cancer nanomedicine: a perspective on recent research advancements and future challenges. Journal of Drug Targeting, 27(9), 941–948.

HOW TO CITE: Mediga Sumalatha, Panjagalla Siva Gangadhar, Yashmeen Nikhat, Menuga Chitra, Nanoparticle-Enhanced Chemotherapy in Metastatic Cancers: Clinical Evidence, Emerging Therapies, and Future Prospects, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 9, 237-241. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17038153