



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF  
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**  
[ISSN: 0975-4725; CODEN(USA): IJPS00]  
Journal Homepage: <https://www.ijpsjournal.com>



## Review Paper

# Recent Trends in Stability Indicating Analytical Method for Drug Substance

Prajakta Maske<sup>1</sup>, Tulja Raut<sup>\*2</sup>, Prem Jadhav<sup>3</sup>, Snehal Kadbhane<sup>4</sup>, Anuradha Aghav<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,2,4,5</sup> Kasturi Shikshan Sanstha College of Pharmacy, Shikrapur, Shirur, Pune 412208.

<sup>3</sup> Dnyanvilas College of Pharmacy Dudulgaon, Alandi

## ARTICLE INFO

Published: 28 Nov 2025

### Keywords:

Stability-Indicating Analytical Methods (SIAM), International Council for Harmonisation (ICH), Degradation Products, European Medicines Agency (EMA), Forced Degradation Studies, Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance, Regulatory Compliance, US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), ICH Q1 (Stability Testing), ICH Q2(R1) (Method Validation), ICH Q1A(R2), Shelf life, Impurity Profiling, Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API), Genotoxic Impurities

### DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.17751843

## ABSTRACT

This comprehensive project examines the contemporary developments, methodological innovations, regulatory advancements, and scientific principles shaping stability indicating analytical method evolution in pharmaceutical industry. The analysis reveals that stability indicating analytical methods have evolved from conventional chromatographic approaches into sophisticated, multi-faceted systems integrating quality-by-design principles, artificial intelligence, advanced instrumentation, and environmentally sustainable practices. The pharmaceutical industry is experiencing a paradigm shift toward lifecycle stability management, characterized by science-based risk assessment, accelerated predictive stability assessment programs (ASAP) and harmonized regulatory frameworks that collectively enhance efficiency while maintaining rigorous quality standards.

## INTRODUCTION

### Background and significance

**\*Corresponding Author:** Tulja Raut

**Address:** Kasturi Shikshan Sanstha College of Pharmacy, Shikrapur, Shirur, Pune 412208.

**Email** ✉: [tuljaraut@gmail.com](mailto:tuljaraut@gmail.com)

**Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



Stability-indicating analytical methods are fundamental to pharmaceutical regulatory compliance and quality assurance worldwide. These methods are specifically designed to detect, identify, and accurately quantify active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) while effectively separating them from, process related impurities and formulation excipients, potential degradation products. The creation and analytical verification of these methods have become crucial requirements for approving drug products and drug substances by regulatory bodies such as the European Medicines Agency(EMA), US FDA, and the International Council for Harmonization (ICH) of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use.. Beyond their role in analytical chemistry, stability-indicating methods provide crucial information on how the chemical and physical quality of pharmaceutical products changes over time under diverse environmental stresses, such as variations in light exposure, humidity, temperature and mechanical stress. This knowledge is vital for establishing appropriate storage conditions, defining accurate shelf-life claims, guiding formulation development, and ensuring that medicines delivered to patients maintain their intended safety, efficacy, and quality throughout their designated usage period

### Historical Evolution and Current Context

The need for robust analytical methods to assess pharmaceutical stability arose during the latter half of the twentieth century, prompted by adverse clinical events linked to drug degradation. Early analytical techniques relied primarily on basic chromatographic methods that offered limited specificity and sensitivity.

Recognizing the necessity for harmonized stability testing guidelines, the International council for Harmonisation was established in 1990 through

collaboration between industries and regulatory authorities representatives from the United States, Japan, and for European Union.

The original ICH Q1A guideline, finalized in 1993 and subsequently revised in 2003 as ICH Q1A(R2), provided a foundational framework for conducting forced degradation studies and stability assessments. These guidelines standardized stress conditions, testing intervals, storage temperature and humidity combinations for different climatic zones, and statistical approaches for predicting shelf life. The most recent consolidation of guidelines into the unified ICH Q1 in 2025 represents the most significant regulatory update in decades, reflecting three decades of scientific progress and industry experience.

### Project Objectives and Scope

This review offers an in-depth evaluation of the latest advancements in designing stability-indicating analytical methods for pharmaceutical drug substances. Its main aims are to:

- Define fundamental concepts and regulatory requirements governing stability-indicating methods.
- Examine advanced chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques currently employed.
- Analyze quality-by-design and design-of-experiments approaches that are transforming method development.
- Explore forced degradation methodologies and mass balance approaches.
- Discuss emerging technologies, including artificial intelligence, hyphenated mass spectrometry, and biosensors.
- Review sustainability initiatives and the integration of green analytical chemistry.



- Examine challenges specific to complex formulations, such as fixed-dose combinations.
- Analyze regulatory evolution and global harmonization initiatives.
- Synthesize implications for pharmaceutical scientists and regulatory compliance.
- Identify future research directions and emerging opportunities in the field.

## DEFINITION AND PURPOSE OF STABILITY STUDIES

Stability studies involve structured evaluations that determine how a pharmaceutical product preserves its chemical and physical properties under specified storage conditions. As described in the 2025 ICH Q1 guideline, these investigations monitor how a drug substance or finished dosage form behaves over time when exposed to factors such as temperature variations, moisture, light, and oxidative environments. [1][12]. The primary purposes of stability studies encompass multiple interconnected objectives[11][13]:

### Establishing Shelf life And Retest Periods:

Stability studies provide measurable data that determine how long a pharmaceutical product is likely to maintain its quality within specified limits, supporting accurate labeling that guides healthcare professionals and patients on proper use.

**Guiding Formulation Development:** For a drug to gain regulatory approval, comprehensive stability data must demonstrate that the product preserves its quality and continues to meet established specifications for the entire duration of its intended shelf life under designated storage conditions.

**Supporting Regulatory Submissions:** Every drug approval submission must include extensive

stability information showing that the product consistently upholds required quality standards for its entire proposed shelf life when stored under the defined conditions. [7].

**Predicting Long-Term Stability:** Mathematical modeling of accelerated stability data enables scientists to extrapolate predictions of product stability at ambient storage conditions without requiring years of real-time data collection<sup>[10][29][33]</sup>.

**Identifying Degradation Pathways:** Systematic characterization of degradation products and mechanisms informs risk management strategies, guides formulation optimization, and supports the development of appropriate analytical methods<sup>[9][17]</sup>.

**Ensuring Manufacturing Consistency:** Stability data can detect process-related variations that might compromise product quality, functioning as a critical quality control tool during pharmaceutical manufacturing<sup>[22]</sup>.

## Types of Stability Studies

### 1. Long-Term Real-Time Stability Studies

Long-term stability testing is performed under storage conditions that reflect normal or near-normal environments in which a product is expected to be used. These studies are essential for regulatory dossiers and form the main basis for assigning a product's shelf life.

For regions with temperate climates, typical conditions are  $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $60\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ , with a minimum of 12 months of data required at the time of submission and additional data collected up to 24, 36, or even 60 months as the product remains on the market.



In subtropical or Mediterranean climates, testing may be conducted at  $30^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $65\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$ . Required sampling points generally include 0, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months, with further intervals at 18, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months when applicable.

## 2. Intermediate Stability Studies

Intermediate stability testing offers additional support when a product shows notable degradation under accelerated conditions or behaves unpredictably. These studies are usually conducted at  $30^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $65\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$  for a period of about nine months. They serve as a link between accelerated and long-term studies, helping to further substantiate shelf-life predictions derived from extrapolation models.<sup>[8]</sup>

## 3. Accelerated Stability Studies

Accelerated testing exposes products to intentionally heightened temperature and humidity to hasten degradation, allowing stability insights to be obtained more quickly during development. According to ICH Q1A(R2), the typical conditions are  $40^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $75\% \text{ RH} \pm 5\% \text{ RH}$  for at least six months, which roughly corresponds to about nine months of storage under normal conditions. These accelerated studies are crucial for guiding formulation choices, optimizing packaging, and providing early shelf-life estimates before long-term data are available.<sup>[14]</sup>

## 4. Photostability Studies

Under ICH Q1B, photostability testing assesses how vulnerable a drug substance or product is to degradation when exposed to light. These studies involve subjecting samples to controlled amounts of UV and visible light. If a product is found to be light-sensitive, it typically requires protective packaging—such as amber or opaque containers—

and may need to be included in the formal stability testing program. [1].

## WHAT ARE STABILITY-INDICATING ANALYTICAL METHODS?

### Fundamental Definitions

A stability indicating analytical method is formally defined as an analytical procedure specifically developed, optimized, and validated to reliably detect and quantify the active pharmaceutical ingredient of interest while simultaneously achieving complete or near-complete separation from potential degradation products, process-related impurities, and formulation excipients<sup>[2][21]</sup>. The cornerstone characteristic distinguishing stability-indicating methods from general assay methods is specificity the demonstrated ability to achieve such separation across multiple stress conditions without ambiguity or interference<sup>[2]</sup>.

### Regulatory Requirements and Global Expectations

Health authorities across the world require the creation and validation of stability-indicating analytical methods as a fundamental component of the documentation needed for pharmaceutical product approval.<sup>[1][2][7]</sup>:

#### 1. FDA Expectations:

The U.S. FDA mandates that fully validated stability-indicating analytical methods be presented within the CMC portion of a New Drug Application. According to FDA guidance, these methods must be suitable for their intended purpose and demonstrate adequate specificity, typically verified through forced-degradation studies. Degradation products are expected to be assessed at concentrations roughly equal to half of the approved impurity limit<sup>[7]</sup>.



## 2. EMA Expectations:

The European Medicines Agency requires stability-indicating methods demonstrating specificity for all anticipated degradation pathways based on theoretical considerations and preliminary degradation data[6]. EMA guidance particularly emphasizes control of genotoxic impurities, requiring sensitive detection and accurate quantitation of potentially mutagenic or carcinogenic degradation products at concentrations as low as 1.5 µg/day intake[6][32].

## 3. ICH Harmonization:

The ICH Q2(R1) guideline provides internationally harmonized requirements for analytical method validation including specificity parameters for stability- indicating methods[2]. The recently updated ICH Q1 guideline (2025) reinforces these expectations while providing enhanced flexibility for lifecycle stability management and science- based method development approaches[1]

## 4. Regional Variations:

While ICH guidelines dominate pharmaceutical regulation globally, specific regional requirements exist. The Indian Pharmacopeial Convention (IPC) and China National Medicinal Products Administration follow ICH guidelines while incorporating region-specific considerations<sup>[12]</sup>. WHO guidance harmonizes expectations for low- and middle-income countries seeking pharmaceutical development and registration guidance<sup>[12]</sup>.

## ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES FOR STABILITY-INDICATING METHODS

### Chromatographic Techniques

## 1. High-Performance Chromatography (HPLC)

## Liquid

HPLC remains the most widely used technique for developing stability-indicating analytical methods due to its excellent selectivity, reliable quantification, and broad regulatory acceptance. In pharmaceutical analysis, reversed-phase HPLC is the dominant mode, employing hydrophobic stationary phases along with mobile phases made from mixtures of water and organic solvents with adjustable polarity. Its adaptability allows effective separation of diverse chemical structures, making it particularly suitable for most small-molecule drug substances and formulations.

## 2. Characteristics of the HPLC Technique:

In reversed-phase HPLC, analytes are separated based on their differing distribution between a nonpolar stationary phase—commonly silica bonded with long-chain groups such as C18, C8, or phenyl—and a polar mobile phase consisting of water combined with organic solvents like methanol or acetonitrile, often buffered to maintain a specific pH.

More hydrophobic compounds interact more strongly with the stationary phase, resulting in slower elution and longer retention times. <sup>[13]</sup>.

Contemporary RP-HPLC methods for stability-indicating analysis employ sophisticated column chemistry options including<sup>[18][21]</sup>:

- Phenyl-bonded phases particularly valuable for aromatic compounds and polycyclic molecules
- Sub-2-micrometer particle columns enabling enhanced efficiency and reduced analysis times.





- Hybrid columns with combined organic and siloxane bonding providing enhanced stability across broad pH ranges (typically pH 1-11)
- Polar-embedded columns incorporating polar functional groups within alkyl chains, enabling enhanced retention of polar compounds while maintaining compatibility with aqueous- rich mobile phases

Contemporary HPLC methods for stability-indicating analysis demonstrate exceptional capabilities, achieving complete separation of drugs from multiple degradation products, quantitation of trace impurities, and analysis times typically ranging from 20-45 minutes per sample[13][30].

### **Ultra High Performance Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC OR UPLC)**

Fast liquid chromatography represents a transformative evolution in analytical chemistry, offering substantially improved performance compared to conventional HPLC[23][24]. UHPLC systems utilize smaller particle diameter stationary phases (typically 1.7-1.8 micrometers compared to conventional 3.5-5 micrometer particles), operate at higher linear velocities and pressures (typically 8,000-15,000 psi compared to conventional 3,000-4,000 psi), and achieve exceptional peak capacity and sensitivity[23].

#### **1. UHPLC Advantages Over HPLC:**

The theoretical advantages of UHPLC derive from the Van Deemter equation governing plate height and chromatographic efficiency[24]. Smaller particle diameters reduce the diffusion path length within the stationary phase, substantially decreasing band broadening and enabling superior resolution[23]. Higher flow rates and pressures are feasible due to the reduced back pressure, allowing

faster analysis times while maintaining or improving separation quality[24].

Practical advantages of UHPLC for stability-indicating method development include[23][24]:

- Reduced analysis times: Methods requiring 45 minutes in conventional HPLC frequently achieve equivalent separation in 10-15 minutes using UHPLC, dramatically increasing sample throughput
- Enhanced sensitivity: Superior peak shape and reduced peak width improve signal-to-noise ratios, enabling lower detection limits and quantitation limits.
- Lower solvent usage: Shorter run times and reduced flow rates (generally 0.4–0.6 mL/min instead of the 1.0–1.5 mL/min common in conventional HPLC) significantly cut down the amount of organic solvent required.
- Improved gradient efficiency: Steep linear gradients feasible in UHPLC enable rapid method development and enhanced selectivity.

Recent publications demonstrate successful UHPLC-based stability-indicating methods for complex formulations including fixed-dose combinations, achieving complete separation of multiple active ingredients from all identified degradation products within 15-minute run times[23].

### **Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography (2D-LC)**

Two-dimensional liquid chromatography is an advanced technique designed to overcome difficulties in separating closely related compounds and detecting impurities present at very low levels. It integrates two distinct chromatographic separations—usually using different stationary phases or orthogonal



mechanisms—to create an exceptionally high peak capacity, often allowing more than 1,000–3,000 individual peaks to be resolved, depending on the setup and method used.

The core concept involves performing two sequential chromatographic runs, where the effluent from the first dimension (1D) is transferred—either entirely or in selected fractions—to a second dimension (2D) for further resolution. This dual-stage approach is especially advantageous for examining complex matrices such as natural products, conducting detailed pharmaceutical impurity assessments, and detecting genotoxic impurities present at very low levels, often around 0.03–0.14% of the primary component[6][32].

Emerging applications in stability-indicating method development include[16]:

- Comprehensive impurity profiling of highly complex formulations where conventional single- dimension HPLC cannot achieve adequate resolution
- Detection of trace-level degradation products at regulatory limits (often 0.05-0.1% API concentration)
- Characterization of unknown impurities through orthogonal separation mechanisms combined with mass spectrometric detection.

## Hyphenated Techniques: LC-MS and GC-MS

### 1. Liquid Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS)

LC-MS combines the separation capability of liquid chromatography with the highly sensitive and structurally informative detection offered by mass spectrometry. It is widely used in the development of stability-indicating methods, particularly for examining complex formulations

and characterizing new or unexpected degradation products[16][20]

### LC-MS Method Comparison:

This technique first separates analytes through liquid chromatography and then subjects them to ionization commonly via electrospray ionization (ESI) or atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) before mass spectrometric detection. The measured mass-to-charge ( $m/z$ ) values reveal molecular weight information, supporting preliminary structural interpretation and verification of compound identity[18].

LC-MS advantages for stability-indicating analysis[20][21]:

- Structural elucidation: Mass spectra provide molecular ions and characteristic fragmentation patterns enabling identification of unknown degradation products
- Trace detection: Selective ion monitoring (SIM) modes achieve detection limits several orders of magnitude lower than UV detection.
- Specificity: Mass selection eliminates interference from isobaric compounds or complex matrices.
- Minimal method optimization: Mass spectrometric detection often enables methods requiring minimal chromatographic optimization while maintaining adequate specificity.

LC-MS/MS (tandem mass spectrometry) represents an advanced variant employing multiple mass spectrometric stages, enabling collision-induced fragmentation and enhanced selectivity[20]. LCMS/MS has become the standard approach for analyzing highly complex formulations and detecting genotoxic impurities below 0.1% relative concentrations[6][32].



## 2. Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS)

GC-MS integrates the separation power of gas chromatography with the identification capability of mass spectrometry. It is particularly effective for examining volatile compounds, resolving chiral molecules, and detecting heat-stable degradation products. Owing to its high sensitivity and selectivity, GC-MS is widely used for analyzing residual solvents, synthetic impurities, and other volatile degradation components [18].

### Spectroscopic Techniques

#### 1. High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC)

High-performance thin-layer chromatography has gained renewed attention as a stability-indicating method because it is eco-friendly, economical, and simpler than many advanced instrumental techniques. With modern enhancements such as coupling HPTLC with photodiode array (PDA) detection the technique now offers specificity, sensitivity, and robustness on par with HPLC, while using significantly lower amounts of solvent. [25]

The fundamental principle involves adsorption of analytes on a thin stationary phase layer (typically silica gel, alumina, or bonded phases), differential migration based on interactions with the stationary phase and mobile phase, and visualization using ultraviolet or visible light detection [25]. HPTLC advantages include minimal sample preparation, direct visualization of separated components, and exceptional specificity when coupled with multi-wavelength PDA detection [25].

Recent validated stability-indicating HPTLC methods demonstrate successful separation of drug substances from multiple degradation

products under forced degradation conditions with linearity over wide ranges (0.05-150% concentration ranges), detection limits at 0.05-0.1% levels, and robustness across multiple analysts and environmental conditions [25].

#### 2. Fourier-Transform Infrared (FT-IR) Spectroscopy

FT-IR enables rapid and non-destructive analysis of molecular structures by evaluating how a sample absorbs infrared radiation at wavenumbers linked to specific molecular vibrations. This technique is particularly valuable in solid-state stability assessments and formulation development because it generates characteristic spectral fingerprints for various excipients and polymorphic forms. When validated for stability-indicating purposes, FT-IR can identify structural alterations due to degradation, detect changes between polymorphs, and quantify active components in complex formulations. Its major advantages include minimal sample preparation, fast measurement, and strong applicability for examining the stability of solid dosage products. [22]

#### 3. Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) Spectroscopy

Proton NMR spectroscopy offers detailed quantitative insight into molecular structures by detecting transitions in the spins of hydrogen nuclei when placed in a magnetic field. This technique is especially useful for measuring active compounds and their degradation products in complex formulations. It provides strong structural specificity and can quantify impurities at levels below 0.1% relative to the main drug [21].

Quantitative NMR (qNMR) approaches employ internal standards and integration of characteristic resonances, enabling absolute quantitation without





requiring reference standards for all degradation products[21]. This capability proves particularly valuable for characterizing novel degradation products where commercial standards may be unavailable[21].

## RECENT TRENDS IN METHOD DEVELOPMENT AND OPTIMIZATION STRATEGIES

### Quality by Design (QbD) Approach

#### 1. Principles of Quality by Design

Quality by Design (QbD) represents a planned, scientific approach to developing analytical methods. It moves away from the conventional one-factor-at-a-time technique and instead emphasizes understanding how different method parameters interact and influence key method outcomes. As outlined in ICH Q8, Q9, and Q14—and increasingly required by regulatory bodies—QbD aims to build strong knowledge of the link between analytical inputs and the method's performance, leading to more consistent and reliable results[4][5].

#### Defining the Analytical Target Profile (ATP):

The ATP outlines the purpose of the analytical method, the essential performance characteristics it must meet, and the criteria used to judge its suitability. For stability-indicating assays, the ATP generally requires the method to reliably distinguish the drug from all expected degradation products, demonstrate linearity across the specified concentration range (commonly 0.05–150% for impurity testing), deliver accuracy within approximately  $\pm 5$ –10%, provide precision with a %RSD not exceeding 5%, and remain robust when method parameters vary within predetermined limits. [2][15]

**Risk Assessment:** Systematic evaluation of method parameters (mobile phase pH, temperature, flow rate, column chemistry) and their potential impact on critical method performance attributes<sup>[5]</sup>. Risk assessment employs tools including failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA), Ishikawa diagrams, and Pareto analysis to prioritize method optimization efforts on highest-impact variables<sup>[5]</sup>.

**Lifecycle Management:** Continuous monitoring and optimization of methods throughout their operational lifecycle, enabling justified modifications without requiring complete revalidation when changes remain within the established design space<sup>[4]</sup>.

**Design Space Establishment:** Designing the method involves using structured experimental tools such as Design of Experiments (DoE) to study how various analytical parameters interact. This approach helps define the Method Operable Design Region (MODR), which is the multidimensional range of conditions under which the method consistently meets its performance requirements.<sup>[4]</sup>

### Analytical Quality by Design (AQbD) Implementation

AQbD extends the concepts of Quality by Design to the development of analytical methods. It uses structured experimentation, statistical evaluation, and predictive modeling to clearly define how method parameters influence key performance attributes, ensuring a well-understood and reliable analytical process.<sup>[16]</sup>

#### Phase 1: Analytical Target Profile Definition<sup>[4][16]</sup>

Specify intended applications and performance requirements      Define critical method



attributes(resolution, selectivity, quantitation capability) Establish acceptance criteria aligned with regulatory expectations.

## **Phase 2: Risk Assessment and Critical Parameter Identification**<sup>[5][16]</sup>

Identify all potential method parameters (stationary phase, mobile phase composition, pH, temperature, flow rate) Assess risk of each parameter affecting critical method attributes Prioritize parameters for systematic optimization.

## **Phase 3: Design of Experiments (DoE)**<sup>[16][20]</sup>

Design factorial or response surface experiments systematically varying prioritized parameters Generate response surfaces relating method parameters to critical attributes Identify optimal parameter combinations and robustness margins.

## **Phase 4: Design Space Definition**<sup>[4][16]</sup>

Establish the method operable design region (MODR) representing all parameter combinations providing acceptable method performance Define control strategies including parameter ranges and specifications Establish linkage between method parameters and product specifications.

## **Phase 5: Lifecycle Management**<sup>[4]</sup>

Implement routine method monitoring procedures Evaluate permitted method deviations and changes Document any modifications with appropriate justification and revalidation.

## **CONCLUSION**

Stability-indicating analytical techniques have developed into extremely sophisticated, scientifically based instruments that are crucial for ensuring the effectiveness, protection, and standard of pharmaceutical medicinal ingredients

during the course of their lifecycle. The capacity to detect, identify, and quantify degradation products with remarkable precision and specificity has been greatly improved by recent advancements in chromatographic, spectroscopic, and hyphenated techniques, such as UHPLC, 2D-LC, LC-MS, and novel spectroscopic platforms. Efficiency and ecological responsibility can coexist, as evidenced by green analytical chemistry principles, reduced solvent usage, shorter run times, and ecologically sensitive technique design. In a similar vein, prospective future directions that will transform pharmaceutical quality control over the next ten years include artificial intelligence, machine learning, sophisticated sensors, and predictive stability tools.

All things considered, the current state of stability-indicating method development is a dynamic fusion of scientific rigor, regulatory progress, and technology. Together, these developments improve pharmaceutical quality assurance, promote international harmonization, and get the sector ready for upcoming analytical and regulatory problem.

## **REFERENCE**

1. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. (2025, April). ICH Q1: Stability testing of drug substances and drug products (ICH Harmonised Guideline, Step 4, consolidated revision).
2. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. (2023, February). ICH Q2(R1): Validation of analytical procedures (ICH Harmonised Guideline: Text and Methodology).
3. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals



- for Human Use. (2006, October). ICH Q3A(R2): Impurities in new drug substances (ICH Harmonised Guideline).
4. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. (2009, November). ICH Q8(R2): Pharmaceutical development (ICH Guideline).
5. International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. (2023, January). ICH Q9(R1): Quality risk management (ICH Guideline).
6. European Medicines Agency. (2006, May). Guideline on the limits of genotoxic impurities (EMA/CHMP/QWP/251701/2006 Rev. 1).
7. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2015, November). Guidance for industry: Analytical procedures and methods validation. FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research.
8. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2003, December). Guidance for industry: Q1A(R2) stability testing of new drug substances and products.
9. Zelesky, T. R., et al. (2023). Pharmaceutical forced degradation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 230, 115–130.
10. Pavčnik, L., et al. (2025). Accelerated predictive stability testing. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 245, 115–128.
11. LabManager.com. (2025, May). Stability testing of pharmaceuticals: Procedures and best practices.
12. GMP-Compliance.org. (2025, May). New ICH Q1 draft guideline – What’s changing in stability testing.
13. GMPSOP.com. (2025, March). Stability testing overview for pharmaceutical products.
14. Kymos Group. (2024, August). Stability testing in 2024: Updates and industry impact.
15. Pharmavalidation.in. (2024, December). Accuracy, specificity, linearity & robustness explained.
16. IJPSonline.com. (2024). Quality-by-design based development and validation of stability-indicating methods.
17. IJCRT.org. (2024). Approach about current trends on forced degradation studies.
18. Chromatographyonline.com. (2021, August). Development of stability-indicating analytical procedures.
19. ResolveMass.ca. (2025, August). Forced degradation study as per ICH guidelines.
20. American Pharmaceutical Review. (2024). Analytical quality by design in pharmaceutical analysis.
21. IJPSR.com. (2024, October). Development of stability-indicating assay.
22. Journals.nirmauni.ac.in. (2024, August). Validation and assessment of stability.
23. PSDronline.com. (2022, September). Stability-indicating assay UHPLC method.
24. Orientjchem.org. (2018, April). Stability-indicating UHPLC method development and validation.
25. Tandfonline.com. (2025, January). Stability-indicating HPTLC method.
26. Stabilityhub.com. (2025, September). ICH Q1 draft guideline marks new era for stability testing.
27. AzpharmJournal.com. (2024, October). Advancements in drug analysis through nanoscale sensors.
28. LabManager.com. (2025, August). Spectroscopic methods in pharma QA/QC.
29. IJMSm.org. (2024). Accelerated stability testing using statistical modeling.
30. Pharmaguideline.com. (2025, September). Analytical method validation in pharmaceuticals.
31. Wjarr.com. (2024). Analytical method validation: A brief review.



32. GMP-Compliance.org. (2024). Q&A on the CHMP guideline on limits of genotoxic impurities.
33. StabilityStudies.in. (2024, December). Using the Arrhenius equation to predict drug shelf life.
34. SS, W., Kawadkar, N. G., PB, J. J., Devne, S. R., Bhagdewani, N. S., Kherade, D. S., & Ingale, P. L. (n.d.). Development and method validation of lumateperone by UV spectroscopy and forced degradation study.

**HOW TO CITE:** Prajakta Maske, Tulja Raut, Prem Jadhav, Snehal Kadbhane, Anuradha Aghav, Recent Trends in Stability Indicating Analytical Method for Drug Substance, Int. J. of Pharm. Sci., 2025, Vol 3, Issue 11, 4685-4696.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17751843>

